

Adaptive QoS in SDN-enabled heterogeneous mobile tactical networks

Master Thesis

Sharath Maligera Eswarappa

Matriculation Number 3068883

This work was submitted to the fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science from

> Institute of Computer Science University of Bonn, Germany

> > Advisers:

Dr. Paulo Henrique Rettore Lopes Dr. Roberto Rigolin F Lopes

Examiners:

Prof. Dr. Peter Martini Dr. Matthias Wübbeling

Registration date: 10-11-2020 Submission date: 23-05-2021

In collaboration with the Fraunhofer Institute for Communication, Information Processing and Ergonomics (FKIE), Bonn, Germany

 ${\bf Rheinische}$ Prüfungsausschuss Friedrich-Wilhelms-Informatik Universität Bonn

universität bonn · Institut für Informatik · 53012 Bonn

Vorsitzender des Prüfungsausschusses

> Prüfungsamt: Judith König Tel.: 0228/73-4418 Fax: 0228/73-4788 pa@informatik.uni-bonn.de Postanschrift: 53115 Bonn Endenicher Allee 19a

Prof. Dr. Thomas Kesselheim

www.informatik.uni-bonn.de

Erklärung über das selbständige Verfassen einer Abschlussarbeit/ Declaration of Authorship

Titel der Arbeit/Title:

Adaptive QoS in SDN-enabled heterogeneous mobile tactical networks Hiermit versichere ich, Maligera Eswarappa **Sharath** Name / name, Vorname / first name dass ich die Arbeit - bei einer Gruppenarbeit meinen entsprechend gekennzeichneten Anteil der Arbeit - selbständig verfasst und keine anderen als

die angegebenen Quellen und Hilfsmittel benutzt sowie Zitate kenntlich ge-

macht habe.

وتنتيب بالمتحدث

Unterschrift/signature

21/05/2021 Bonn, den ... date

Abstract

[Tactical Networks](#page-70-0) [\(TNs\)](#page-70-0) support exchange of information among it's users such as dismounted soldiers, convoys, command and control, medical team etc., to accomplish mission oriented task. These networks are limited by low bandwidth, high latency and constraints of network devices operating in these networks like battery, storage and computational power. Moreover, these networks are composed of heterogeneous radio link characteristics varying in range and frequencies such as [High Frequency](#page-68-0) [\(HF\)](#page-68-0), [Very High Frequency](#page-70-1) [\(VHF\)](#page-70-1), [Ultra High Frequency](#page-70-2) [\(UHF\)](#page-70-2) and [Satellite Communications](#page-69-0) [\(SatCom\)](#page-69-0). During a certain operational context, these networks experience a dynamic change in network conditions as well as data exchange. Ensuring [End-to-End](#page-68-1) [\(E2E\)](#page-68-1) [Quality-of-Service](#page-69-1) [\(QoS\)](#page-69-1) of data in these networks become a daunting task considering the ever-changing communication scenario. The traditional networking devices used in these networks offers very little flexibility and complexity in ensuring [QoS](#page-69-1) of data due to coupled control and data functions within these devices. With the emergence of new computer networking concepts such as [Software-Defined Networking](#page-69-2) [\(SDN\)](#page-69-2) in which the control functions are de-coupled from the forwarding functions of the network devices, we have a new opportunity to re-design the [QoS](#page-69-1) control in [TNs](#page-70-0) using [SDN](#page-69-2) paradigm. Motivated by the features offered by [SDN,](#page-69-2) in this thesis we introduce a mechanism to adaptively ensure [QoS](#page-69-1) for user data flow in heterogeneous [TNs](#page-70-0) by leveraging [SDN](#page-69-2) paradigm. We start with a hypothesis that an application running on the northbound interface of a [SDN](#page-69-2) controller can support the management of unreliable radio links at the edge of tactical networks. Thus, we developed applications to support adaptive shaping of user data flows over data rates supported by [VHF,](#page-70-1) [UHF](#page-70-2) and [SatCom](#page-69-0) radios, and to ensure the dropping of expired messages. We also introduce a hybrid scheduling, composed of *priority* and *fairness* based scheduling mechanisms for these data flows using Linux [Queuing Disciplines](#page-69-3) [\(Qdiscs\)](#page-69-3). The goal is to differentiate [IP](#page-68-2) packets from different command and control services. Our hypothesis was verified with experiments using four classes of messages with different [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements, such as priority, reliability, and time of expire. Experimental results in an emulated network suggest that our solution can differentiate data-flows in a heterogeneous tactical network while ensuring [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements.

Acknowledgments

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisors: Prof. Dr. Peter Martini and Dr. Matthias Wübbeling for their time, involvement and support, academically and administratively, in this thesis journey making it as smooth as possible. I would like to thank my advisors at Fraunhofer FKIE: Dr. Paulo Henrique Rettore Lopes and Dr. Roberto Rigolin F Lopes for providing an opportunity to do my Master Thesis at the institute. Their continuous support, feedback, ideas, motivation and patience throughout the entire thesis is invaluable.

I would like to extend my thanks to student researchers at Fraunhofer FKIE: Johannes Loevenich and Pooja Hanavadi Balaraju for their support, ideas and insightful comments during this thesis. Last but not the least, I would like to thank my family and friends whose continued encouragement and support never failed to uplift me and my effort during this Master Thesis, especially at the time of this pandemic.

Contents

1

Introduction

[Tactical Networks](#page-70-0) [\(TNs\)](#page-70-0) are heterogeneous, mobile ad hoc networks ensuring [End](#page-68-1)[to-End](#page-68-1) [\(E2E\)](#page-68-1) connectivity between nodes in the battlefield [\[24\]](#page-61-0). [TNs](#page-70-0) consist of radio communication links with different characteristics in terms of network capacity, range of coverage and high latency. Current heterogeneous [TNs](#page-70-0) support a wide range of radio communication systems such as [High Frequency](#page-68-0) [\(HF\)](#page-68-0), [Very High Frequency](#page-70-1) [\(VHF\)](#page-70-1), [Ultra High Frequency](#page-70-2) [\(UHF\)](#page-70-2) and [Satellite Communications](#page-69-0) [\(SatCom\)](#page-69-0) [\[40\]](#page-63-0). As the nodes move, the characteristics of these communication systems change, increasing the complexity of data delivery among them. To maximize the data delivery between nodes in a highly dynamic environment, resource efficient routing techniques has to be employed, maximizing the utilization of network resources while ensuring [Quality-of-Service](#page-69-1) [\(QoS\)](#page-69-1) of the data being delivered [\[48\]](#page-63-1).

Supporting [E2E](#page-68-1) [QoS](#page-69-1) of data in [TNs](#page-70-0) is crucial because of the low capacity of the links and frequently the users would like to send and receive more data than the network can handle. If [QoS](#page-69-1) is not applied, it can influence network congestion resulting in packet delay and loss. When the network capacity is low, it is of utmost importance that the mission-critical traffic is prioritized by the network at the expense of less important ones [\[40\]](#page-63-0). Therefore, the system needs to support different [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements for traffic classes over a tactical heterogeneous network by means of classification and prioritization of flows, and the ability to drop low priority flows when the network approaches congestion and thus maintain fair [QoS](#page-69-1) for high priority traffic flows.

A modern [Tactical Network](#page-70-3) [\(TN\)](#page-70-3) might consist of multiple mobile tactical units forming a network of unpredictable mobility resulting in rapid topology changes with the nodes joining and leaving the network on a random basis, where link capacities vary; both in time and per-link characteristics. Due to this ever-changing network scenario, network control and management becomes a complex problem, requiring rapid reconfiguration through a resilient control plane since [TNs](#page-70-0) have strong focus on robustness and network utilization. Recent literature [\[30\]](#page-62-0) suggests that [Software-Defined Networking](#page-69-2) [\(SDN\)](#page-69-2) is a promising solution since it provides the

potential for highly configurable, automated networks by separating the control and forwarding functions of network devices. Because of this separation, network control is directly programmable enabling centralized (logically) network intelligence in software-based controllers, and network hardware devices becoming simple forwarding devices. Since [TNs](#page-70-0) are migrating more towards software and virtualization based solutions, [QoS](#page-69-1) mechanisms in a military-context are being re-designed using [SDN](#page-69-2) paradigm.

In recent years, researchers have explored the potentials of leveraging [SDN](#page-69-2) to address service differentiation, traffic management, and network dynamics at the edge of tactical networks [\[42,](#page-63-2) [46,](#page-63-3) [47,](#page-63-4) [50\]](#page-63-5). The features of [SDN](#page-69-2) concept is appealing since it offers significant advantages over conventional networks in tactical networks such as: (i) simplifying the administrative tasks in monitoring and controlling network traffic; (ii) flexible routing mechanism which applies to per traffic flow, based on packet header attributes rather than depending only on destination [IP](#page-68-2) and [MAC](#page-69-4) address or in combination with [TCP](#page-70-4)[/UDP](#page-70-5) port numbers as in conventional networks; (iii) lower complexity and flexibility in network adaption mechanisms since the [SDN](#page-69-2) controllers have visibility of the whole network unlike conventional networking.

1.1 Problem Description

Dismounted soldiers, convoys, medical team along with [Command and Control](#page-68-3) [\(C2\)](#page-68-3) communicate among themselves with a set of [C2](#page-68-3) services while representing as nodes in a tactical network. The magnitude of user behaviour within this network depends on unpredictable operational context like warfare, the conduct of combat, evacuation and disaster relief. They generate data without knowing the underlying network conditions. The users forming this network are often limited by infrastructure-less communication environment with connectivity limitation and self configuration characteristics due to technical and physical reasons. While operating within a certain operational context, they experience dynamic network conditions across asymmetric link characteristics resulting in variable packet loss and changes in link data rate.

Considering the challenges in ensuring optimal data delivery among the nodes in these ever-changing network conditions, several studies [\[20,](#page-59-2) [29,](#page-62-1) [32,](#page-62-2) [36–](#page-62-3)[39,](#page-59-3) [49\]](#page-63-6) have explored issues in provisioning [QoS](#page-69-1) in [TNs.](#page-70-0) With limited bandwidth and high latency for traffic flows, service differentiation and [QoS](#page-69-1) of these services become important attributes to address, in any tactical scenario. There must be a mechanism to ensure mission-critical data to get priority in network resources when the resources are scare, thereby reducing the packet loss incurred by higher-priority flows when compared to low-priority flows. Also using this mechanism, traffic flows requiring higher bandwidth should be routed through radio link offering sufficient bandwidth towards the same destination. And this mechanism should be implemented with minimal human intervention, in other words the [QoS](#page-69-1) mechanism should be adaptive to the changes in network conditions.

Network devices in traditional [TNs](#page-70-0) couples together control and data plane functions. The control plane within these devices, in the nodes, operate autonomously. This provides very little flexibility and complexity to configure the heterogeneity in network devices, since control and data planes are vertically integrated. Reconfiguring or updating these network devices could be a time consuming and tedious process for network administrators considering different types of network devices requiring them to take offline, leading to the loss of connectivity in network segments. Moreover, these network devices would consist of vendor specific operating system, applications and protocols which hinders the development of new network services.

However, new paradigm in computer networking such as [SDN](#page-69-2) separates network control from forwarding functions of the network devices, where the network control is moved to a central entity called [SDN](#page-69-2) controller. The controller communicates over a vendor-neutral protocol with networking devices, thereby network devices can remain simple forwarding devices and offer interfaces to the higher layers. Thus, network control becomes directly programmable in a more convenient and vendor independent way, accelerating the innovation and development of new network services. [SDN](#page-69-2) in [Tactical Networks](#page-70-0) [\(TNs\)](#page-70-0) opens up for moving the enforcement of policy management and [QoS](#page-69-1) control from a service application oriented control plane to network oriented control plane [\[27\]](#page-61-1) providing granular control over data flows and flexibility throughout the network.

1.2 Research question

Based on the previous discussions on challenges of ensuring [Quality-of-Service](#page-69-1) [\(QoS\)](#page-69-1) requirements of user data flow in resource constrained heterogeneous tactical networks, and inspired by the features supported by [Software-Defined Networking](#page-69-2) [\(SDN\)](#page-69-2) paradigm, the purpose of this thesis is to study and validate:

- How [SDN](#page-69-2) could be used to enforce [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements in tactical networks?
	- By leveraging [SDN,](#page-69-2) how to shape the traffic to suit the present network capacities over heterogeneous networks considering constant link changes?
	- Moreover, how to drop expired IP packets and prioritize data flow?

1.3 Hypothesis

The thesis began with a research on [SDN](#page-69-2) controller capabilities in supporting efficient management of data over unreliable radio communication links at the edge of [TNs](#page-70-0) to meet stringent and varying [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements. Therefore, we start with a hypothesis that the [SDN](#page-69-2) controller could be used as a tool for monitoring and controlling traffic in a dynamic tactical environment. Network applications running on top of this [SDN](#page-69-2) controller could be utilized in order to differentiate distinct [Com](#page-68-3)[mand and Control](#page-68-3) [\(C2\)](#page-68-3) services, ensure [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements for these services, and dynamically shape the data flow across heterogeneous link depending on the network conditions.

1.4 Objectives

The main objective of this thesis is to explore how [SDN](#page-69-2) could be leveraged in addressing [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements for user data flow in ever-changing network conditions experienced in heterogeneous tactical networks. As a proof-of-concept, a [QoS](#page-69-1) framework based on [SDN](#page-69-2) concept was developed to ensure [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements of these data flows in a tactical communication scenario. Depending on the network capacities, adaptively serve these [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements within the provisioned framework. [SDN](#page-69-2) capabilities and constraints towards development of this framework is explored and validated within a [SDN](#page-69-2) emulation platform. Therefore, the objective of this thesis work is formulated with the following specific goals:

- To study related works in provisioning [QoS](#page-69-1) of user data flow in [Tactical Net](#page-70-0)[works](#page-70-0) [\(TNs\)](#page-70-0), both in traditional as well as [SDN](#page-69-2) based [TNs.](#page-70-0) Study their shortcomings and potential enhancements. Find works related to contributions of [SDN](#page-69-2) towards [QoS](#page-69-1) management.
- To emulate generation of messages from a set of [Command and Control](#page-68-3) [\(C2\)](#page-68-3) services within a [SDN](#page-69-2) emulation platform, depicting communication between two radios over heterogeneous links.
- To study and implement traffic scheduling mechanisms available within Linux environment to enforce IP differentiation for QoS-constrained data flows from [C2](#page-68-3) services.
- To shape the data flow with respect to the nominal data rate supported by the heterogeneous radio communication links using Linux [Queuing Disciplines](#page-69-3) [\(Qdiscs\)](#page-69-3).
- Develop a network application to adaptively shape the data flow depending on the network bandwidth using features supported by OpenFlow switch.
- The proposed [QoS](#page-69-1) framework should be validated by a network topology depicting a minimal communication scenario in a tactical network, deployed within a [SDN](#page-69-2) emulation platform.

1.5 Contributions

During this master thesis, it was investigated how [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements for user data flow could be enforced using the features supported by OpenFlow switch and [SDN](#page-69-2) controller, together with Linux [Queuing Disciplines](#page-69-3) [\(Qdiscs\)](#page-69-3). [MGEN](#page-69-5) traffic generator was used to emulate five exemplary [Command and Control](#page-68-3) [\(C2\)](#page-68-3) services with different 'Priority' in form of [Type-of-Service](#page-70-6) [\(ToS\)](#page-70-6) bits set in the header of an IPV4 packet. Using [Qdiscs,](#page-69-3) 'Priority' [QoS](#page-69-1) requirement was ensured for these distinct services. A hybrid traffic scheduling mechanism was introduced using [En](#page-68-4)[hanced Transmission Selection](#page-68-4) [\(ETS\)](#page-68-4) [Qdisc,](#page-69-6) merging the functionalities of *priority* and fairness based scheduling mechanisms. [Hierarchy Token Bucket](#page-68-5) [\(HTB\)](#page-68-5) [Qdisc](#page-69-6) was used to emulate the data rates supported by different radio communication

technologies and to shape the data flow according to the emulated data rate. Using custom [Representational State Transfer](#page-69-7) [\(REST\)](#page-69-7) applications running on the northbound interface of the [SDN](#page-69-2) controller, flow rules within the flow tables of OpenFlow switch were configured to differentiate the traffic flows from distinct [C2](#page-68-3) services and ['Time-of-Expiry](#page-70-7) [\(ToE\)](#page-70-7)' [QoS](#page-69-1) requirement was provisioned for these services. Similarly, using a [REST](#page-69-7) application, meter table of the OpenFlow switch was configured with entries of data rates supported by a [VHF,](#page-70-1) [UHF](#page-70-2) and [SatCom](#page-69-0) radio modulations. And by assuming that a network application running on the [SDN](#page-69-2) controller should have access to the information on the current data rate of the radio interface in use, a proof-of-concept was developed by serving the information about the data rate at these interfaces to a [REST](#page-69-7) application by [Remote Procedure Calls](#page-69-8) [\(RPC\)](#page-69-8). Based on the data rate in use at these interfaces, the [REST](#page-69-7) application adaptively shaped the data flow at OpenFlow switch by directing the flow towards appropriate meter entries in it. Drawback of this adaptive shaping mechanism was investigated along with the suggestion for improvements. The proposed [QoS](#page-69-1) framework was implemented and validated within the Mininet [\[28\]](#page-62-4) emulation platform by a minimal network topology depicting the heterogeneous tactical network scenario.

1.6 Thesis structure

The structure of this thesis text is as follows. Chapter [2](#page-14-0) briefly introduces heterogeneity in tactical networks followed by the description of [SDN](#page-69-2) architecture and concluded by the discussion on related studies in ensuring [QoS](#page-69-1) in tactical networks by both traditional as well as [SDN](#page-69-2) paradigm. Chapter [3](#page-26-0) describes the design and implementation of our adaptive [QoS](#page-69-1) framework on a network topology within a [SDN](#page-69-2) emulation platform. Chapter [4](#page-44-0) discusses the experimental results of provisioning this framework in ensuring 'Priority' and ['Time-of-Expiry](#page-70-7) [\(ToE\)](#page-70-7)' [QoS](#page-69-1) requirement for user data flows in a tactical network. Chapter [5](#page-58-0) finalizes this thesis by presenting the conclusion and future work while listing our publications related to this thesis.

2

Background

This chapter introduces the fundamental concept of [Software-Defined Networking](#page-69-2) [\(SDN\)](#page-69-2) and [Quality-of-Service](#page-69-1) [\(QoS\)](#page-69-1), required to understand the work presented in this thesis. The chapter starts with a brief introduction to tactical networks followed by the description of [SDN](#page-69-2) architecture and components of OpenFlow switch, serves the foundation for understanding the [SDN](#page-69-2) based [QoS](#page-69-1) framework presented in chapter [3.](#page-26-0) This chapter is concluded by discussing some of the related studies in ensuring [QoS](#page-69-1) in tactical networks using traditional as well as [SDN](#page-69-2) paradigm.

2.1 Tactical Networks

[Tactical Networks](#page-70-0) [\(TNs\)](#page-70-0) are heterogeneous, [mobile ad hoc networks](#page-69-9) [\(MANETs\)](#page-69-9) hosting critical information systems for communication purposes in the battlefield. It facilitate information sharing among the users to accomplish mission-oriented tasks. It also enables the [C2](#page-68-3) system's capabilities for network-centric warfare [\[18\]](#page-61-2) using robust radio links across a contested region. The nodes forming [TENs](#page-70-8) are agile, highly mobile, and inevitably heterogeneous with varying channel characteristics, including range and frequency such as [HF,](#page-68-0) [VHF,](#page-70-1) [UHF,](#page-70-2) [SatCom,](#page-69-0) sensor networks and [Unmanned Aerial Vehicles](#page-70-9) [\(UAVs\)](#page-70-9) [\[40\]](#page-63-0). The nodes which form TENs usually operate in harsh environments with a possibility of frequent link outages. Link outage leads to the loss of connectivity among the nodes forming TENs, resulting in data transmission timeouts and routing failures. Due to these reasons, TENs require rapid reconfiguration and recovery of network topology through a resilient control plane. Recent literature [\[30\]](#page-62-0) suggests that Software Defined Networking (SDN) is a promising solution since it provides the possibility of configurable, automated networks by separating the control and forwarding functions of network devices. Furthermore, by leveraging the concept of SDN, previous investigations [\[17,](#page-61-3) [19\]](#page-61-4) have shown how to handle link failures using one of the two main approaches, proactive and reactive.

2.2 Software-Defined Networking (SDN)

[Open Networking Foundation](#page-69-10) [\(ONF\)](#page-69-10) defined [SDN](#page-69-2) [\[15\]](#page-60-1) as an architecture that separates the control and forwarding functions of network devices, such as routers and switches through a process of abstraction. Network control becomes fully programmable because of this de-coupling and moved to a logically centralized software based [SDN](#page-69-2) controller, at a layer above the data plane. The controller could be used to consistently monitor the data flow through the network devices, gain statistical information using which the behaviour of individual network devices can be altered in real-time. As a result of this, network devices become simple forwarding elements through which the packets will be forwarded to other network devices as per the rules defined by the [SDN](#page-69-2) controller. This reduces the complexity of adding, replacing and upgrading the network devices, thereby adding flexibility and scalability in network control.

Figure [2.1](#page-15-1) shows the basic [SDN](#page-69-2) architecture proposed by [ONF.](#page-69-10) The lowest level of abstraction consists of hardware network forwarding devices (switches, routers, load balancers etc.,) in the data plane. As per the architecture, network control functions has been removed from these devices and abstracted within a software based controller running on any server. All the functionalities of a control plane in a network device will be implemented in this controller. A layer above this controller lies application plane consisting of network applications using which the end users will manage the underlying forwarding devices. The [SDN](#page-69-2) controller exposes it's north-bound interface to develop business and network applications. Different [SDN](#page-69-2) controllers can have their own north-bound interfaces since there is no de-facto interface because of the diverse nature of applications built using this interface. These applications communicate to the hardware devices using south-bound interface exposed by the [SDN](#page-69-2) controller. Unlike north-bound interface, OpenFlow protocol [\[41\]](#page-63-7) is widely accepted as de-facto standard south-bound interface. In recent years, there is surge in number of vendors manufacturing network devices supporting OpenFlow

protocol. In addition to this, software switches (or virtual switches) such as [Open](#page-69-11) [vSwitch](#page-69-11) [\(OVS\)](#page-69-11) supports OpenFlow configuration protocols such as [Open vSwitch](#page-69-12) [Database](#page-69-12) [\(OVSDB\)](#page-69-12) [\[44\]](#page-63-8) protocol to manage it's network accessible database system. In addition to these two interfaces, East-West interface provides an interface for logically distributed control plane allowing [SDN](#page-69-2) controllers to exchange notifications as well as services. This interface is still in exploratory phase in the scientific community.

2.2.1 OpenFlow Software Switch Architecture

Most commonly used OpenFlow switches such as [Open vSwitch](#page-69-11) [\(OVS\)](#page-69-11) [\[8\]](#page-60-2) consists of one or more secure OpenFlow channels to communicate with one or more [SDN](#page-69-2) controllers and a datapath. As shown in Figure [2.2,](#page-16-1) an [OVS](#page-69-11) architecture consists of flow table, meter table, group table and queues on the egress port as part of the data path in the switch, where packet processing pipeline takes place. A typical packet processing pipeline in the data path of an [OVS](#page-69-11) consists of (1) receiving packets on the input port (2) filtering them based on packet header fields in a *flow table* (3) executing a list of actions for matched packets including additional methods of forwarding (using *group table*), rate limiting (using *meter table*) and forwarding packets to relevant output port(s) or *dropping* the packet. Using applications running on the north-bound interface of a [SDN](#page-69-2) controller, flow, group, and meter entries can be inserted into *flow, group* and *meter tables* respectively using OpenFlow protocol through the south-bound interface of the [SDN](#page-69-2) controller. Using the same interface, queues on the egress port of an [OVS](#page-69-11) can be configured using [OVSDB](#page-69-12) [\[44\]](#page-63-8) protocol.

An [OVS](#page-69-11) can consist of one or more *flow tables* depending on the data pipeline design [\[45\]](#page-63-9). Each of these flow tables consist of one or more flow rules defining the forwarding policy for a particular flow. Table [2.1](#page-17-0) lists the components of a flow entry. Each flow entry can be given a priority using 'Priority' field. The values for 'Priority' can range from θ to 65535. Within the flow table, packets will be first tried to match with the flow rule having the highest priority. If the packets do not match, then lower priority flow rules will be used to match the packet header fields until all the rules exhaust. For example, later in Chapter [3,](#page-26-0) Table [3.2](#page-37-1) lists the flow entries used by the mechanism introduced in the present thesis. 'Match Fields' of the flow entry provides the filters to match the packet header attributes such as IPV4 and Ethernet addresses, input port, [IP](#page-68-2) [DSCP](#page-68-6) values etc., Once all the filters within this field are satisfied, a set of actions will be performed on the matched packets, as specified in the 'Instructions' field. The set of actions could

consist of forwarding the packet to other flow table or group table having further instructions of forwarding, and shaping the flow by directing the packets towards meter table through relevant output port or to drop the packet by specifying empty set of actions. 'Cookie' field consist of a 64 bit number used by [SDN](#page-69-2) controller to add, modify and delete the flow entries. Two types of timeouts are specified for the flow entries [i.e](#page-68-7) 'Idle Timeout' and 'Hard Timeout'. Timeout value (in seconds) of 'Idle Timeout' specifies how long the flow rule can exist within the switch without matching any packets. Value in 'Hard Timeout' specifies the maximum time, the flow rule can reside within the switch regardless of the number of packets matching to it. When either of the timeout expires, the switch evicts the flow rule. 'Duration' field specifies the time since the flow rule was inserted, in seconds. 'Packet Count' and 'Byte Count' field specifies the number of packets matched and the amount of bytes through the flow rule, respectively.

Group Table was introduced in OpenFlow 1.1 version to perform complex operations on packets that cannot be defined using a flow table alone. Operations such as flooding, load-balancing, sniffing and port mirroring could be performed using group table. Table [2.2](#page-17-1) lists the components of a group entry in a group table of an [OVS.](#page-69-11) Each entry in the group table is identified by a unique 'Group Identifier', while 'Group Type' specifies the type of group the entry belongs to. One of the four types of group i.e ALL, SELECT, INDIRECT and FAST-FAILOVER can be specified for an entry. 'Action Buckets' consist of one or more buckets specifying the set of actions to be performed on the matched packets, depending on the group type. By defining the group type to ALL, the matched packets could be duplicated and forwarded to all the buckets within the group entry to perform distinct actions on the packets. By defining the group type to SELECT, the matched packets will be directed to a single bucket among a list of buckets, in a round-robin order. The buckets could be given certain weight to select particular bucket, often. When the group type is specified as INDIRECT, only one bucket will be selected each time for the matched packets. FAST-FAILOVER group type executes the first live bucket in the group which is associated with a live egress port. 'Counter' field maintains the number of packets going through the particular group entry.

Meter Table feature was introduced in OpenFlow protocol version 1.3 . A meter table consists of meters, defining the rate at which the flow has to be shaped. Meters are associated with the flows rather than egress ports of the switch. Flow entries in the flow table are assigned specific meters through which the packets matching the flow rule has to go through before being forwarded to the output ports. A flow is not required to be attached to a meter entry, it is up to the developer to specify which flows, or type of flows that should be attached to a meter entry and passed

through the meters. As listed in Table [2.3](#page-18-1) a meter entry in the meter table consists of two main components:

- [Meter Identifier](#page-69-13) [\(Meter ID\)](#page-69-13): The [Meter ID](#page-69-13) field consists of a 32-bit unsigned integer uniquely identifying the *meter entry*. The [Meter IDs](#page-69-13) are used to attach to the flow entries in the flow table as part of the action set for the flows.
- Meter Bands: Meter bands specify the rate at which packets have to be shaped. The rate could be specified in terms of either [kilobits per second](#page-68-8) [\(kbps\)](#page-68-8) or [packets per second](#page-69-14) [\(pktps\)](#page-69-14) using 'Flags' field. Within this meter band, we can specify what action to be performed when the packets arrive at a rate higher than the specified rate of the band, by specifying the 'Type' of the band. Two kinds of actions could be performed: (i) DROP: By specifying the drop action, switch drops the packets if the current flow rate exceeds the *meter band* rate. (ii) **DSCP_REMARK**: This action is used to implement [DiffServ.](#page-68-9) The [DSCP](#page-68-6) value of the packets which exceeds the defined meter band rate will be decreased by a magnitude of '1'. For example, if the meter band is specified to shape the data rate at 240 [kbps,](#page-68-8) and the packets from a message having [DSCP](#page-68-6) value '30' arrive at 300 [kbps](#page-68-8) to this band, then the [DSCP](#page-68-6) value of all the packets exceeding 240 [kbps](#page-68-8) will be remarked to next decreasing value [i.e](#page-68-7) '28', according to the values described in RFC 2475 [\[13\]](#page-60-3). Even though OpenFlow protocol let us specify 'DSCP REMARK' action for the meter bands, Open vSwitch has not yet implemented this functionality in it's latest release versioned 2.15.90 .

2.2.2 SDN Controller

In this section we discuss and compare some of the open source [SDN](#page-69-2) controllers being used in the research and industrial community. [SDN](#page-69-2) controller acts as an intermediary between applications connected through it's north-bound interface and network devices connected through it's south-bound interface. The controller software is dubbed as the network operating system within the [SDN](#page-69-2) concept. Table [2.4](#page-19-1) lists some of the widely used open source [SDN](#page-69-2) controllers. Controllers differ in the programming language of their implementation, they differ in support for OpenFlow protocol versions and OpenFlow configuration protocols such as OF-CONFIG and [OVSDB.](#page-69-12) Some of them are in active development while others are hardly maintained. In our quest to find suitable controller which is actively maintained while supporting recent OpenFlow version and configuration protocols, we shortlisted Ryu [\[14\]](#page-60-4) and OpenDaylight [SDN](#page-69-2) controllers to be used in our [QoS](#page-69-1) framework. Since we chose open source software switch [i.e](#page-68-7) [Open vSwitch](#page-69-11) [\(OVS\)](#page-69-11) within our framework, supporting it's [OVSDB](#page-69-12) configuration protocol became the deciding factor to choose between

the two controllers. Even though OpenDaylight supports [OVSDB](#page-69-12) protocol, it is not frequently updated with support for recent OpenFlow protocol versions. Also it does not contain separate module for [QoS](#page-69-1) as in case of Ryu controller. Thus Ryu [SDN](#page-69-2) controller was chosen for implementation of our [QoS](#page-69-1) framework. Ryu extensively supports [OVSDB](#page-69-12) protocol through a library using which [REST](#page-69-7) applications could be built to configure the database of [OVS.](#page-69-11) Since it was implemented in Python programming language, it facilitated quick prototyping to design our [QoS](#page-69-1) framework. Although OpenFlow 1.3 version was used for communication between Ryu and [OVS,](#page-69-11) which was sufficient for our use-cases, we intend to use OpenFlow 1.4 version or greater for our future work which differs the way the controller communicates with the networking devices.

2.3 Quality-of-Service (QoS)

[QoS](#page-69-1) is the ability of a set of network technologies to assure the guarantee in ensuring network services to the users. The vendors of the network devices, develop [QoS](#page-69-1) control mechanisms to manage these devices in a resource efficient way and provide [QoS](#page-69-1) to the traffic flows through them. In traditional computer networking protocols such as [IP](#page-68-2) and [TCP/](#page-70-4)[IP,](#page-68-2) the traffic is served on first-come-first-serve basis which is termed as best-effort service. Network resources are equally shared among all the traffic classes in this best-effort mechanism. As long as the availability of network resources is unlimited, this kind of service works. But the network devices used in [Tactical Networks](#page-70-0) [\(TNs\)](#page-70-0) are limited by capabilities in power usage, computing and storage. Serving traffic flows through best-effort mechanism in [TNs](#page-70-0) results in unsatisfactory experience for all the users in [TNs,](#page-70-0) since different traffic flows have distinct [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements in terms of delay, jitter and packet loss. On one hand, critical data such as sensor data and medical help request messages are sensitive to packet delay, jitter and loss. Whereas on other hand, data from voice or video streaming application are less sensitive to packet loss. Failure to meet these standards, result in low Quality of Experience among the users.

In this regard, [The Internet Engineering Task Force](#page-68-10) [\(IETF\)](#page-68-10) defines two [QoS](#page-69-1) control architecture commonly presented as [Integrated Service](#page-68-11) [\(IntServ\)](#page-68-11) [\[5\]](#page-60-8) and [Differenti-](#page-68-9)

[ated Service](#page-68-9) [\(DiffServ\)](#page-68-9) [\[1\]](#page-60-9). [IntServ](#page-68-11) is based on resource allocation using [Resource](#page-69-15) [ReSerVation Protocol](#page-69-15) [\(RSVP\)](#page-69-15) [\[12\]](#page-60-10). Based on the [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements of the application, [IntServ](#page-68-11) computes the required bandwidth between source and destination, and allocates the network resources between them to serve the [QoS](#page-69-1) on per-hop basis for this application. [IntServ](#page-68-11) requires each network device along the path from source to destination, to maintain the state information for each traffic flows. Alternative to this, [DiffServ](#page-68-9) control architecture is based on traffic classification where [QoS](#page-69-1) mechanisms differentiate traffic flows into different classes of traffic, and assign network resources to certain class of traffic rather than allocating resources for traffic flows, each time. Based on the [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements for distinct traffic classes, network resources are allocated. For example, traffic classes having low-latency requirements are served on a priority basis by the resources. [DiffServ](#page-68-9) is easier to implement and network devices are not required to maintain a state of information on traffic flows. Since the devices in [Tactical Networks](#page-70-0) [\(TNs\)](#page-70-0) are constrained by the battery and memory, in [IntServ](#page-68-11) model, the required memory to maintain the state information grows along with the signalling messages when the number of traffic flow increases. Also, since the links in [TNs](#page-70-0) changes continuously, guaranteeing the bandwidth to serve these traffic flows becomes difficult. Therefore, [DiffServ](#page-68-9) [QoS](#page-69-1) control architecture is the most commonly used mechanism in addressing [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements in [TNs.](#page-70-0) [DiffServ](#page-68-9) uses [Differentiated Services Code Point](#page-68-6) [\(DSCP\)](#page-68-6) values in the header of an [IPV](#page-68-2)4 packet to distinguish packets coming from different traffic classes. In the next section, we describe how [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements can be specified for packets of certain classes by encoding it's [DSCP](#page-68-6) values.

2.3.1 Differentiated Services Code Point (DSCP)

Packets can be classified based on the [DSCP](#page-68-6) bits in their [IP](#page-68-2) header to serve them at different priority levels. [DSCP](#page-68-6) is the most significant 6-bits in the 8-bit [Type](#page-70-6)[of-Service](#page-70-6) [\(ToS\)](#page-70-6) field in the [IPv4](#page-68-12) packet header. Packets with the same [DSCP](#page-68-6) bits are treated equally irrespective of the traffic flow for which the packet belongs to. The [ToS](#page-70-6) byte in the packet header can further be categorized into three components as shown in Table [2.5.](#page-20-1) The values in the 'Precedence' field is composed of 3-bits through which the priority level for the packets can be specified. Total eight different values (or priority levels) could be encoded using this 'Precedence' field as listed in Table [2.6.](#page-21-2) Packets with binary value '000' (Routine) have the lowest priority while packets with binary value '111' (Network) have the highest priority in [DiffServ](#page-68-9) mechanism.

Table 2.5 Composition of [Type-of-Service](#page-70-6) [\(ToS\)](#page-70-6) byte in the [Internet Protocol version 4](#page-68-12) [\(IPv4\)](#page-68-12) header [\[2\]](#page-60-11)

Table 2.6 Definition for values in the Precedence field of [ToS](#page-70-6) byte [\[2\]](#page-60-11)

Table 2.7 Definition for values in the [ToS](#page-70-6) field of ToS byte in [IPv4](#page-68-12) header [\[2\]](#page-60-11)

2.4 Related Works

In the following sections, we discuss some of the related studies for provisioning [QoS](#page-69-1) in tactical networks. The literature review is further classified into investigations for [QoS](#page-69-1) management in tactical networks and investigations describing [QoS](#page-69-1) management mechanisms available in [SDN.](#page-69-2) Further we summarize the contributions of these investigations in the form of a table while categorizing with respect to traffic classification, adaptivity of [QoS](#page-69-1) framework, and single-hop versus multi-hop scenario.

2.4.1 QoS Management Mechanisms in SDN

The authors in [\[31\]](#page-62-5) conducted a [QoS-](#page-69-1)motivated literature review in OpenFlow enabled [SDN](#page-69-2) networks characterized by network characteristics such as bandwidth, delay, jitter and loss. The authors begin with the discussion of [QoS](#page-69-1) capabilities of OpenFlow protocol by looking at it's different versions. They highlight [QoS](#page-69-1) related features and changes implemented from OpenFlow specification version 1.0 through version 1.5. Summarizing [QoS](#page-69-1) capabilities all through these versions; OpenFlow protocol provides: (i) ability to forward packet through a queue attached to the port; (ii) ability to add, modify and remove [VLAN](#page-70-10) tags along with support for multiple levels of [VLAN](#page-70-10) tagging; (iii) ability for a [SDN](#page-69-2) controller to query all the queues in the switch while collecting statistics about the network; (iv) rate monitoring and limiting functionality by means of meter tables consisting of meter entries; (v) flow monitoring framework that allows a controller to monitor the flow statistics in flow tables in real-time.

Furthermore, the authors elaborate relationship between [SDN](#page-69-2) and [QoS](#page-69-1) stressing benefits of using [SDN](#page-69-2) concept in ensuring [QoS.](#page-69-1) As mentioned by the article's authors, decoupling of control plane and data plane in [SDN](#page-69-2) brings in many opportunities to ensure [QoS](#page-69-1) such as: (i) set of flow policies and classes are unrestricted while it's limited in conventional networks because of many vendor-specific firmwares at use; (ii) through the use of [SDN](#page-69-2) controller, network statistics can be monitored on different levels with respect to per-flow, per-port, per-device while overcoming conventional network's limited global view and [QoS](#page-69-1) possibilities, and per-hop decision making. Due to above mentioned prominent and easier ways of ensuring [QoS](#page-69-1) for applications, [SDN](#page-69-2) is a better candidate when compared to conventional networks which lacks control over [QoS.](#page-69-1)

[SDN-](#page-69-2)capable switches, like [Open vSwitch](#page-69-11) [\(OVS\)](#page-69-11) [\[8\]](#page-60-2), support [QoS](#page-69-1) through Open-Flow [\[41\]](#page-63-7) and [Open vSwitch Database](#page-69-12) [\(OVSDB\)](#page-69-12) [\[44\]](#page-63-8) protocols to control the flow table, meter table and queues on the egress port. In [\[22\]](#page-61-5), the authors proposed an algorithm to actively monitor flow statistics and dynamically re-assign flow bandwidth using meter table to achieve optimal throughput for all [QoS](#page-69-1) flows. This dynamic configuration of the meter table is achieved as an extension to the controller functionalities rather than being an independent application using [Representational State](#page-69-7) [Transfer](#page-69-7) [\(REST\)](#page-69-7) northbound interface of the controller. As a result, it increases the complexity of the controller and limits the enhancement of the [QoS](#page-69-1) framework by independent developers. Complementing this work, we developed an external [REST](#page-69-7) application to monitor traffic flows through flow table and meter table to adaptively achieve [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements.

The initial effort for providing [RESTf](#page-69-7)ul interface to ease the process of queue creation and deletion on switch ports was carried out by [\[43\]](#page-63-10) with the support of [OVSDB](#page-69-12) protocol. But the paper does not specify how to configure these queues upon creation. Overcoming this limitation, [\[23\]](#page-61-6) proposed an interface for flexible configuration of these queues according to [QoS](#page-69-1) policies. The proposed interface allowed external applications to control the configuration of these priority queues. The configuration included rate limiting and [DiffServ](#page-68-9) capabilities on these queues. Our application uses this interface to configure queues on switch port with respect to traffic classes providing bandwidth guarantees.

In [\[26\]](#page-61-7), the authors included an authentication scheme to control access for privileged users to implement [QoS](#page-69-1) functions. They chose to aggregate [QoS](#page-69-1) behavior based on VLAN-IDs instead of traffic types by installing flow rules to map one VPLS to a prioritized queue on OVS. They developed a [QoS](#page-69-1) module utilizing both, the queues (on OVS via OVSDB) and the OpenFlow's meter table features. Since meter entries alone cannot suffice bandwidth sharing among different priority flows, the authors combined the metering with queuing to provide bandwidth reservation for flows from different VLAN-IDs. In our work, we employed similar combination of metering with queuing to ensure bandwidth guarantee for different C₂ services during low data rates.

2.4.2 QoS Management in Tactical Networks using traditional networking

Prior to [SDN,](#page-69-2) [\[32\]](#page-62-2) introduced a tactical [QoS](#page-69-1) framework based on [Differentiated](#page-68-9) [Service](#page-68-9) [\(DiffServ\)](#page-68-9) and [Simple Network Management Protocol](#page-69-16) [\(SNMP\)](#page-69-16). The framework consists of tactical [QoS](#page-69-1) information exchange, [QoS](#page-69-1) module configuration and [Differentiated Service Code Point](#page-68-13) [\(DSCP\)](#page-68-13) marking taking into account hierarchical tactical network architecture. The hierarchical multi-layer architecture was designed based on a tactical structure (platoon, company, battalion, and brigade) where the leader controls and establishes [QoS](#page-69-1) framework within the members of the same layer. Within the layer, members exchange [QoS](#page-69-1) information with the leaders through request/response method. Once the member node receives the [QoS](#page-69-1) information, it configures [QoS](#page-69-1) module in it's kernel through [Linux Traffic Control](#page-70-11) [\(tc\)](#page-70-11) commands. The [QoS](#page-69-1) module configuration consists of two phases i.e [DSCP](#page-68-13) marking and hierarchical scheduling. In [DSCP](#page-68-13) marking phase, [DiffServ](#page-68-9) field of the packet will be marked to a specific [DSCP](#page-68-13) value using [DSCP Marking Information Base](#page-68-14) [\(DMB\)](#page-68-14). The [DSCP](#page-68-13) values are mapped to a combination of traffic types and precedence. Traffic precedence is distinguished into flash, immediate, priority and routine along with real-time and non-real-time traffic types. The [DSCP](#page-68-13) marking information consists of destination IP/port, [DSCP](#page-68-13) value, and egress interface. The marking takes place at the traffic source (traffic generator) and cannot be changed by intermediate nodes until the packet reaches the destination. Intermediate nodes only perform packet forwarding according to the DSCP value of the packets. In hierarchical scheduling phase, the packets will be filtered with respect to [DSCP](#page-68-13) value mapping traffic type and precedence. The authors showed that the packets with higher priority, experience a shorter delay and lower jitter when compared to lower priority packets. Similarly, in our work, we use some of these ideas together with [SDN](#page-69-2) capabilities to distinguish the traffic flows using [DSCP](#page-68-13) values marked by a traffic generator enforcing [QoS.](#page-69-1)

In [\[49\]](#page-63-6) the author examined the effect of employing two different priority queuing disciplines, namely [Fixed Priority Queue](#page-68-15) [\(FPQ\)](#page-68-15) and [Weighted Fair Queuing](#page-70-12) [\(WFQ\)](#page-70-12) in the MAC protocol. The performance of these two queuing disciplines were tested on 40 nodes placed randomly within a quadratic area. The author concluded that by employing [FPQ,](#page-68-15) the higher-priority traffic class exceeding the network capacity will dominate the links such that lower-priority traffic classes cannot pass through the network. However, in military networks, this strict priority among traffic classes makes sense because *flash messages* should always take precedence over other traffic classes. On the other hand in [WFQ,](#page-70-12) no traffic class could dominate the network. Instead, the author suggests that a combination of both queues could be used by employing [WFQ](#page-70-12) under [FPQ.](#page-68-15) But there is no quantitative evidence supporting such suggestion in the paper. In this thesis, we introduce a hybrid priority scheduling mechanism to enforce strict priority for high priority messages and fair scheduling for low priority messages in order to address the limitation noticed in the literature review.

The investigation in [\[29\]](#page-62-1) describe the importance of providing [End-to-End](#page-68-1) [\(E2E\)](#page-68-1) [QoS](#page-69-1) over a combination of radio systems in an operation by an efficient and robust network. The authors describe [QoS-](#page-69-1)aware mechanisms for inter-domain and intra-domain heterogeneous networks, where mobility can lead to reduction and/or renegotiation of [QoS](#page-69-1) parameters. They mention that the [QoS](#page-69-1) architecture should consist of admission control, resource monitoring and management, and the ability to preempt flows when the network is congested. While describing a possible [QoS](#page-69-1) architecture for providing connectivity and differentiated [QoS](#page-69-1) support in heterogeneous mobile tactical networks, the authors present a multi-topology proactive routing protocol which maintains multiple paths from source to destination to support the required [QoS](#page-69-1) for a specific traffic class. The routing protocol maintains three different [QoS](#page-69-1) topologies: (i) Low data-rate (ii) High data-rate and (iii) Low delay topologies depicting a group of radio transmission [\(VHF,](#page-70-1) [UHF](#page-70-2) and [SatCom\)](#page-69-0) technologies. The protocol finds a route by traversing the group of radio transmission technologies that best suits the required [QoS](#page-69-1) for a specific traffic class. The authors also showed that the traffic streams that cannot be supported by the path can be preempted at the source of the traffic flow. In our work, we maintained a similar multi-topology routing by using the [SDN](#page-69-2) features with different flow tables in OpenFlow switches for low and high data rate [QoS](#page-69-1) topologies.

A queuing mechanism for delivering [QoS-](#page-69-1)constrained user data flow in tactical networks given the network conditions was developed in Fraunhofer FKIE, and described in [\[37,](#page-62-6) [38\]](#page-62-7). Here the authors assume that a set of [QoS-](#page-69-1)constrained [Com](#page-68-3)[mand and Control](#page-68-3) [\(C2\)](#page-68-3) services are available to the users in tactical networks where each service comes with a predefined [QoS-](#page-69-1)requirement. The authors proposed a stochastic model to combine different types of messages in different ways to emulate wide range of military operations. They were particularly interested in message's priority and time of expire to shape the user data flow for a given network condition. Messages were sorted according to their priority in the queue of messages. The queuing mechanism was designed for one-hop in a [VHF](#page-70-1) network. If a message's period of stay in the queue is higher than it's time of expire [QoS-](#page-69-1)requirement, it is dropped to ensure the [QoS.](#page-69-1) The results of the queuing mechanism were discussed at the receiver side showing that high priority messages had higher delivery rate and lower delay when compared with the low priority messages. In our work, we extended the shaping mechanism from one-hop to multi-hop radio networks, and we used similar stochastic models to emulate randomness in network conditions and message combinations.

2.4.3 QoS Management in Tactical Networks using SDN

Post [SDN,](#page-69-2) the authors in [\[42\]](#page-63-2) proposed the usage of [SDN](#page-69-2) controller in provisioning [E2E](#page-68-1) [QoS](#page-69-1) in [Tactical Edge Networks](#page-70-8) [\(TENs\)](#page-70-8). They propose to categorize data flows among the nodes forming [TENs](#page-70-8) into interactive (eg. audio/video streaming) and non-interactive (eg. file transfer) traffic. They suggest interactive traffic having strict requirements in terms of delay could be prioritized over non-interactive traffic using [DSCP](#page-68-13) marking. And, a flow optimization application running in [SDN](#page-69-2) controller could be used to filter the pre-defined packet headers corresponding to high priority traffic and select proper radio communication technology to disseminate these traffic with low delay. Despite the proposal, the article lacks practical emulation/simulation of the described traffic classification and prioritization. On the contrary, in this thesis, we demonstrate the quantitative results by emulated the usage of [SDN](#page-69-2) controller in monitoring the traffic flows while adaptively ensuring [QoS](#page-69-1) for these flows.

In [\[34\]](#page-62-8), authors proposed an algorithm called "Real-time Transmission via Flowrate-Control" by leveraging [SDN](#page-69-2) to provision [QoS](#page-69-1) for real-time data over a tactical scenario in [Naval Ship System](#page-69-18) [\(NSS\)](#page-69-18). An optimization problem in terms of delay and priority constraints were formulated; to improve network utilization by controlling the bandwidth of the links between different nodes in [NSS.](#page-69-18) Although the bandwidth is controlled by the proposed algorithm, it can't control the traffic rate generated at the source. If the traffic rate generated at the source node is higher than the allocated bandwidth by the algorithm for that flow, then the packets are dropped at the source node. The performance of the proposed algorithm was verified using mininet [\[33\]](#page-62-9) with customized Floodlight [SDN](#page-69-2) controller. Since the topology of nodes in [NSS](#page-69-18) is fixed, the work does not depict the dynamic and heterogeneous nature of [TENs.](#page-70-8) The authors does not elaborate on the types of traffic encountered in [NSS.](#page-69-18) Also, instead of shaping the traffic at source node when it exceeds the bandwidth capacity, the packets are dropped. Our work does not focus on computing optimal bandwidth between nodes but instead of dropping we queue the packets at source node during traffic burst avoiding packet loss.

Table [2.8](#page-25-0) summarizes the studies for provisioning [QoS](#page-69-1) in tactical networks. The contribution of each article is summarized along with the method used for classification of traffic flows. Further, the contributions were categorized based on single-hop and multi-hop implementations while provisioning [QoS](#page-69-1) adaptively or not, and whether using [SDN](#page-69-2) paradigm or not.

3

Design and Implementation

In this chapter, we discuss the design of our [SDN](#page-69-2) experimental framework using which we emulated a mechanism to adaptively ensure [Quality-of-Service](#page-69-1) [\(QoS\)](#page-69-1) of user data flow in Software Defined Heterogeneous Tactical Networks. Figure [3.1](#page-26-1) depicts the topology of our experimental network setup within the Mininet [\[28\]](#page-62-4) emulating platform, used throughout our experiments, to evaluate our adaptive [QoS](#page-69-1) mechanism. Using Linux Network Namespaces [\[6\]](#page-60-12), we deploy containers to emulate heterogeneous nature in [TNs.](#page-70-0)

As shown in Figure [3.1,](#page-26-1) we deploy two containers [i.e](#page-68-7) Source Host (h_1) and Radio Host (r_1) with [IP](#page-68-2) addresses 192.168.10.10 and 192.168.10.1 respectively, connected by a [Open vSwitch](#page-69-11) (OVS) -1 $(OVS₁)$ and a remote host with [IP](#page-68-2) address 192.168.1.101

to depict the software defined radio infrastructure at a message Sender radio. To emulate the message sent by this *Sender* radio (r_1) over a [VHF,](#page-70-1) [UHF](#page-70-2) and a [SatCom](#page-69-0) link, we deploy three $\text{OVS}_{1,2,3}$ connected to three containers representing [VHF,](#page-70-1) [UHF](#page-70-2) and [SatCom](#page-69-0) destination radio hosts [i.e](#page-68-7) h_2 , h_3 and h_4 with [IP](#page-68-2) addresses 192.168.20.10, 192.168.30.10 and 192.168.40.10 respectively. The destination hosts are connected to their respective [OVS](#page-69-11) through [virtual Ethernet](#page-70-13) [\(veth\)](#page-70-13) link. The Sender radio r_1 , has three [veth](#page-70-13) interfaces: r_1 - eth_1 , r_1 - eth_2 and r_1 - eth_3 connected to OVS_1 , OVS_2 and [OVS](#page-69-11)³ bridges. Using Linux [Queuing Disciplines](#page-69-3) [\(Qdiscs\)](#page-69-3) deployed on these three virtual interfaces, we emulate [VHF,](#page-70-1) [UHF](#page-70-2) and [SatCom](#page-69-0) radio link characteristics between *Sender* radio (r_1) and *Receiver* radios $(h_2, h_3 \text{ and } h_4)$.

Ryu [\[14\]](#page-60-4) SDN controller instance running on the remote host 192.168.1.101, connects to $OVS₁$ via south-bound interface using OpenFlow protocol [\[41\]](#page-63-7) to install flow and meter table entries to adaptively ensure [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements of user data flow. We have [Representational State Transfer](#page-69-7) [\(REST\)](#page-69-7) applications running on the north-bound interface of the [SDN](#page-69-2) controller to support adaptive installation of these entries. Ryu was chosen as part of our network set up due to the reasons as described in section [2.2.2.](#page-18-0) The Topology Discovery module running on the Ryu controller, discovers the deployed topology in Mininet and inserts the [MAC](#page-69-4) address table entries in the corresponding $flow$ tables of $OVS₁$.

A Communication Scenario in [TN](#page-70-3) can be described as a combination of user behaviour \triangle and network conditions \triangle (refer Figure [3.1\)](#page-26-1), which are changing independently over time. To describe and emulate the challenges of addressing [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements of user data flow over ever-changing communication scenarios in heterogeneous tactical networks, we further divide this chapter into five sections as follows. In Section [3.1,](#page-27-0) we begin with describing the importance of ensuring [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements of user data flow while listing different types of command and control services available to users in [TNs.](#page-70-0) We also describe a mechanism to emulate message generation from these distinct services using a traffic generator. In Section [3.2,](#page-29-0) we describe the heterogeneous nature of radio communication in [TNs.](#page-70-0) We considered to emulate data rates supported by three kinds of radio communication techniques [i.e](#page-68-7) [VHF,](#page-70-1) [UHF](#page-70-2) and [SatCom](#page-69-0) in our emulation platform. In Section [3.3,](#page-30-0) we describe some of the traffic shaping and scheduling mechanisms available within Linux environment. We list some of the [Queuing Disciplines](#page-69-3) [\(Qdiscs\)](#page-69-3) available within Linux kernel to implement traffic management capabilities. In Section [3.4,](#page-37-0) we describe the features available within [OVS](#page-69-11) to implement [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements of user data flow. We conclude this chapter by describing our adaptive QoS mechanism \mathbb{C} (refer Figure [3.1\)](#page-26-1) using [REST](#page-69-7) applications running on Ryu controller together with [OVS](#page-69-11) to adaptively ensure [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements of user data flow within our emulating platform.

3.1 QoS constrained user data flow

Ensuring [Quality-of-Service](#page-69-1) [\(QoS\)](#page-69-1) of data in heterogeneous [TNs](#page-70-0) is important so that the exchange of user generated data can be utilized to a maximum extent. Often, users of [TN](#page-70-3) generate more data than the network can handle resulting in network congestion. So packets with higher priority should be served first than the lower priority ones. This may result in bandwidth starvation for low priority traffic classes

or even they can be preempted. Due to the delay experienced by these low priority traffic flows, they may not be relevant to the recipient by the time they were received. In these cases, the expired data should be discarded or dropped. Different priorities can be assigned to different traffic classes based on the type of military operation. The network should be adaptable in supporting differential treatment to these traffic classes. Table [3.1](#page-28-0) lists five exemplary command and control services available to the users in tactical networks. Each of these services has unique [QoS-](#page-69-1)requirements in terms of message priority, reliability and [Time-of-Expiry](#page-70-7) [\(ToE\)](#page-70-7).

To simulate message generation from these distinct services within Mininet, we use [Multi-Generator](#page-69-5) [\(MGEN\)](#page-69-5) [\[7\]](#page-60-13) traffic generator developed by US Naval Research Laboratory since it is based on generating traffic patterns for a tactical scenario. The MGEN tool provides the ability to generate messages with different priority in form of [Type-of-Service](#page-70-6) [\(ToS\)](#page-70-6) bits set in the header of an IPv4 packet. MGEN is set to use UDP as transport protocol using the commands as shown in Figure [3.2.](#page-28-1) Line₁ in Figure [3.2](#page-28-1) represents the command to generate Message Evacuation message with ToS bits set to '0x78' in hexadecimal format. Similarly Line₂ to Line₅ represents generation of *Obstacle Alert* (0x50), *Picture* (0x28), *Chat* (0x04) and *[FFT](#page-68-16)* (0x00) messages with respect to their 'Priority' [QoS](#page-69-1) requirement (refer Table. [3.1\)](#page-28-0). Each of these messages can be generated at a specific time since the execution of the script through distinct source port, to a destination [IP](#page-68-2) address and port combination. The "Pattern" of message generation can be specified in "ON" events by specifying the message size and frequency of transmission.

In our experimental setup as shown in Figure [3.1,](#page-26-1) using an [MGEN](#page-69-5) instance running on source host h_1 , we generate a burst of these five messages with their corresponding "Priority". These messages were sent through [VHF,](#page-70-1) [UHF](#page-70-2) and [SatCom](#page-69-0) link to their corresponding destination hosts h_2 , h_3 and h_4 , simultaneously. An [MGEN](#page-69-5) instance was running on these destination hosts to receive and log the messages in terms of time-stamp (sent and received), packet sequence number and it's size. Using these features we computed packet loss and delay to quantify the system's ability to differentiate messages with distinct [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements.

3.2 Ever-changing link data rates

It is a challenging task to meet all the requirements of a radio communication among the nodes in a [Tactical Network](#page-70-3) [\(TN\)](#page-70-3) considering the node mobility, constrained resources and unreliable communication links between them. The movement of these nodes in the battlefield scenarios or disaster relief is extremely dynamic. The communication environment in these scenarios is usually infrastructure-less and thus these nodes have to be connected through wireless communication network. Further, based on the degree of mobility, these nodes can be categorized into static (like sensors), dynamic (like convoys, platoons etc.,) and into extremely dynamic (like [UAVs\)](#page-70-9). Different radio communication systems are used such as [HF,](#page-68-0) [VHF,](#page-70-1) [UHF](#page-70-2) and [SatCom](#page-69-0) to connect mobile units and command posts together to meet all the requirements of a tactical radio communication. These radio communication systems have different characteristics in terms capacity, range, anti-jamming capabilities and robustness [\[21\]](#page-61-8). Figure [3.3](#page-29-1) illustrates three types of radio communication techniques emulated in our experimental setup using [Queuing Disciplines](#page-69-3) [\(Qdiscs\)](#page-69-3). [Very High](#page-70-1) [Frequency](#page-70-1) [\(VHF\)](#page-70-1) radios such as PR4G by Thales supports a maximum data rate of 9.6 [kilobits per second](#page-68-8) [\(kbps\)](#page-68-8) over a range of 20 [kilometers](#page-69-19) [\(kms\)](#page-69-19). Depending on the distance between these radios, they support a data rate of (0.6, 1.2, 2.4, 4.8 and 9.6) [kbps.](#page-68-8) Similarly depending on the vendor of the radio, [Ultra High Frequency](#page-70-2) [\(UHF\)](#page-70-2) radios support a maximum data rate of 240 [kbps](#page-68-8) over a range of 2 [kms.](#page-69-19) And we assume data rates supported by these [UHF](#page-70-2) radios to be (15, 30, 60, 120 and 240) [kbps.](#page-68-8) Also we assume [Satellite Communications](#page-69-0) [\(SatCom\)](#page-69-0) to support a data rate of (32, 64, 128, 256 and 512) [kbps](#page-68-8) in our experiments in the emulating platform.

Figure 3.3 Exemplary data rates supported by [VHF,](#page-70-1) [UHF](#page-70-2) and [SatCom](#page-69-0) waveforms

We considered to simulate these different data rates of different radio communication systems in a heterogeneous node to emulate dynamic network conditions experienced by nodes in a tactical scenario. The sources of varying network conditions could be due to (i) frequent topology changes because of node mobility. (ii) intermittent communication links due to terrain masking and (iii) due to unpredictable operational conditions. In our experimental network setup as shown in Figure [3.1,](#page-26-1) we attach [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) on interfaces: r_1-eth_1 , r_1-eth_2 and r_1-eth_3 , representing a radio with heterogeneous communication setup to shape the data rate with respect to the data rates supported by [VHF,](#page-70-1) [UHF](#page-70-2) and [SatCom,](#page-69-0) as mentioned before.

3.3 The QoS Mechanisms in Linux Kernel

This Section describes a range of [Quality-of-Service](#page-69-1) [\(QoS\)](#page-69-1) mechanisms available within Linux environment. [Queuing Disciplines](#page-69-3) [\(Qdiscs\)](#page-69-3) implement the traffic management capabilities within Linux Kernel. The [Qdisc](#page-69-6) is located between the IP Stack and the network interface, which can be configured using user space utility program called [Traffic Control](#page-70-14) [\(TC\)](#page-70-14). Based on the [Qdisc](#page-69-6) being used, [TC](#page-70-14) lets us configure the rate at which packets are to be transmitted through the network interface along with preferential treatment to certain packets to move ahead than the rest, while being transmitted. Preferential treatment is achieved by classifying packets coming from different traffic classes and scheduling them based on their priorities assuming that the packets have already been marked with their respective [ToS](#page-70-6) bits in the packet header. Rate limiting and scheduling of packets are always carried out at the outbound interface while having no control over how packets can be treated at the inbound interface, except policing them. In the following sub-sections, we describe various [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) supported by the Linux Kernel to shape the traffic with respect to the data rates supported by different radio communication systems as described before and to schedule the traffic from distinct command and control services (refer Table [3.1\)](#page-28-0) according to their "Priority" [QoS](#page-69-1) requirement.

3.3.1 Traffic shaping

Traffic shaping is a mechanism in which the amount of data sent over a network could be controlled, typically implemented as an algorithm in the kernel networking stack. In our experimental network setup, we refer traffic shaping as a combination of pacing and rate limiting. Pacing refers to injection of inter-packet gaps to smooth the traffic considering the network bandwidth, to avoid packet loss when the amount of data generated exceeds the network capacity. Rate limiting refers to enforcement of data rate on flow-aggregate basis. In our experiments, we perform pacing and rate limiting on the source host h_1 and Radio Host r_1 (refer Figure [3.1\)](#page-26-1), considering the individual and aggregate of bandwidth available over [VHF,](#page-70-1) [UHF](#page-70-2) and [SatCom](#page-69-0) links. In the following sub-sections we discuss the two most widely used algorithms for traffic shaping and their implementation within our network setup.

3.3.1.1 Token Bucket Filter (TBF)

Traffic shaping algorithm such as [Token Bucket Filter](#page-69-20) [\(TBF\)](#page-69-20) [Qdisc](#page-69-6) [\[4\]](#page-60-14), buffers the packets in a queue while waiting for tokens to pass through the queue of the network interface. These tokens can be generated at a specific rate, defining the rate at which

packets have to be transmitted. Figure [3.4](#page-31-1) describes the structure of a [TBF](#page-69-20) [Qdisc.](#page-69-6) As the name suggest, the [Qdisc](#page-69-6) consist of a bucket, constantly filled with some virtual pieces of information called 'tokens' at a specific rate called token rate.

The messages generated by MGEN in the *user space* are broken down into packets in the kernel space within the Linux environment. The incoming packets will be enqueued to the packet queue if it has sufficient space to admit the packet else the packet will be dropped. While dequeuing the packets from packet queue, the token bucket is inspected to check if it contains sufficient tokens with respect to the size of the packet in byte, where 1 token equals to 1 byte. If sufficient tokens are available, the packet is allowed to pass through, to driver queue at the outbound interface, else the packet has to wait until appropriate amount of tokens are available. Here we can specify the token rate to match the data rate at which traffic has to be shaped corresponding to the radio modulation in use.

3.3.1.2 Hierarchy Token Bucket (HTB)

[HTB](#page-68-5) [Qdisc](#page-69-6) uses a tree organization (refer Figure [3.5\)](#page-32-0) of class-based hierarchical system and filters to shape the data flow. [HTB](#page-68-5) uses filters based on packet header attributes to classify incoming packets into several classes, each associated with a [TBF](#page-69-20) [Qdisc](#page-69-6) at leaf level in the tree. These filters can be assigned to a class or a [Qdisc](#page-69-6) or both based on the design of the tree. Figure [3.5](#page-32-0) describe the structure of [HTB](#page-68-5) [Qdisc,](#page-69-6) used throughout our experiments which was attached to the outbound interface h_1 -eth₀ of source host h₁ (refer Figure [3.1\)](#page-26-1) and was used to shape the data flow destined towards a [VHF](#page-70-1) (h_2) , [UHF](#page-70-2) (h_3) and a [SatCom](#page-69-0) (h_4) node. Figure [3.6](#page-32-1) lists a set of commands to setup this [HTB](#page-68-5) [Qdisc](#page-69-6) structure at the egress interface of host, h_1 .

The [IP](#page-68-2) packets coming from the user space [MGEN](#page-69-5) traffic generator with appropriate [ToS](#page-70-6) byte as listed in Figure [3.2](#page-28-1) are enqueued to the root [HTB](#page-68-5) [Qdisc](#page-69-6) (1:) deployed in the kernel space of a Linux environment running on host, h_1 . Line₁ in Figure [3.6](#page-32-1) describe the command to setup this root [Qdisc](#page-69-6) on egress interface $h_1\text{-}eth_0$. Under this root, lies another [HTB](#page-68-5) [Qdisc](#page-69-6) (1:1) which classifies the packets based on the destination [IP](#page-68-2) address. Line₂ describe the command to setup this inner Q disc under the root. All the packets passing through this root [Qdisc](#page-69-6) are enqueued to the inner [Qdisc](#page-69-6) with the help of a filter (refer Line₆ in Figure [3.6\)](#page-32-1). The rate at which packets have to be dequeued from this inner [Qdisc](#page-69-6) is configured according to the traffic aggregation of leaf [Qdiscs.](#page-69-3) Under this inner [Qdisc,](#page-69-6) three leaf [HTB](#page-68-5) [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) [i.e](#page-68-7)

of Source Host (192.168.10.10)

Figure 3.5 Structure of [Hierarchy Token Bucket](#page-68-5) [\(HTB\)](#page-68-5) [Qdisc](#page-69-6) deployed on the egress interface of Source Host (192.168.10.10)

1) tc qdisc add dev <interface> root handle 1: htb 2) tc class add dev <interface> parent 1: classid 1:1 htb rate 762kbit ceil 762kbit burst 762kb 3) tc class add dev <interface> parent 1:1 classid 1:11 htb rate 9.6kbit ceil 9.6kbit burst 10kb 4) tc class add dev <interface> parent 1:1 classid 1:12 htb rate 240kbit ceil 240kbit burst 240kb 5) tc class add dev <interface> parent 1:1 classid 1:13 htb rate 512kbit ceil 512kbit burst 512kb 6) tc filter add dev <interface> parent 1:0 protocol ip prio 1 u32 match ip src <address> 6) match ip protocol 17 0xff flowid 1:1 7) tc filter add dev <interface> parent 1:1 protocol ip prio 1 u32 match ip dst <VHF-DST-address> 7) match ip protocol 17 0xff flowid 1:11 8) tc filter add dev <interface> parent 1:1 protocol ip prio 1 u32 match ip dst <UHF-DST-address> 8) match ip protocol 17 0xff flowid 1:12 9) tc filter add dev <interface> parent 1:1 protocol ip prio 1 u32 match ip dst <SatCom-DST-address> 9) match ip protocol 17 0xff flowid 1:13 Figure 3.6 Linux [Traffic Control](#page-70-14) [\(TC\)](#page-70-14) commands to add [HTB](#page-68-5) [Qdisc](#page-69-6) on the egress interface

 $(1:11)$, $(1:12)$ and $(1:13)$ are attached to shape the data rate according to the data rates (refer Figure [3.3\)](#page-29-1) supported by a [VHF,](#page-70-1) [UHF](#page-70-2) and [SatCom](#page-69-0) link respectively. Inner [Qdisc](#page-69-6) $(1:1)$ uses a filter to classify the packets destined towards a [VHF](#page-70-1) (h_2) , [UHF](#page-70-2) (h_3) and [SatCom](#page-69-0) (h_4) host and enqueues corresponding packets to leaf [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) $(1:11)$, $(1:12)$ and $(1:13)$ respectively. In Line_{3.4,5} we specify *ceil* rate and *burst* size to define the maximum data rate supported by a [VHF,](#page-70-1) [UHF](#page-70-2) and [SatCom](#page-69-0) radio links respectively.

To emulate ever-changing link data rates at a heterogeneous node, we attached [HTB](#page-68-5) [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) on r_1 -eth₁, r_1 -eth₂ and r_1 -eth₃ interfaces of Radio Host (192.168.10.1) connected to [VHF,](#page-70-1) [UHF](#page-70-2) and [SatCom](#page-69-0) destination hosts, respectively. Line_{1,2,3} of Figure [3.7](#page-33-2) lists the Linux [TC](#page-70-14) commands to setup a [HTB](#page-68-5) [Qdisc](#page-69-6) on r_1 -eth₁ interface to emulate the data rates supported by a [VHF](#page-70-1) radio as described in Section [3.2.](#page-29-0) Similarly, Line_{4,5,6} and Line_{7,8,9} describe the commands to setup [HTB](#page-68-5) [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) on r_1 -eth₂ and r_1 -eth₃ interfaces to emulate data rates supported by [UHF](#page-70-2) radio and [SatCom](#page-69-0) respectively. During initial deployment of our network setup within Mininet, all these three interfaces were configured to shape the data rate with respect to the maximum rate supported by the corresponding radio link to the destination host.

 $\text{Line}_{1,2,3}$ of Figure [3.8](#page-33-3) describe the commands to change the link data rate on interfaces r_1-eth_1 , r_1-eth_2 and r_1-eth_3 respectively. Using these commands, we emulate

- 1) tc qdisc add dev r1-eth1 root handle 1: htb default 1
- 2) tc class add dev r1-eth1 parent 1: classid 1:1 htb rate 9.6kbit ceil 9.6kbit burst 10kb
- 3) tc filter add dev r1-eth1 parent 1: protocol ip prio 1 u32 match ip dst 192.168.20.10 match ip protocol 17 0xff flowid 1:1
- 4) tc qdisc add dev r1-eth2 root handle 1: htb default 1
- 5) tc class add dev r1-eth2 parent 1: classid 1:1 htb rate 240kbit ceil 240kbit burst 240kb
- 6) tc filter add dev r1-eth2 parent 1: protocol ip prio 1 u32 match ip dst 192.168.30.10 match ip protocol 17 0xff flowid 1:1
- 7) tc qdisc add dev r1-eth3 root handle 1: htb default 1
- 8) tc class add dev r1-eth3 parent 1: classid 1:1 htb rate 512kbit ceil 512kbit burst 512kb
- 9) tc filter add dev r1-eth3 parent 1: protocol ip prio 1 u32 match ip dst 192.168.40.10 match ip protocol 17 0xff flowid 1:1

Figure 3.7 Linux [TC](#page-70-14) commands to add [HTB](#page-68-5) [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) on the interfaces of Radio Host (192.168.10.1)

- 1) tc class change dev r1-eth1 parent 1: classid 1:1 htb rate \lt VHF-data-rate $>$ kbit
- 2) tc class change dev r1-eth2 parent 1: classid 1:1 htb rate <UHF-data-rate>kbit
- 3) tc class change dev r1-eth3 parent 1: classid 1:1 htb rate <SatCom-data-rate>kbit

Figure 3.8 Linux [TC](#page-70-14) commands to change the link data rates on the interfaces of Radio Host (192.168.10.1)

dynamic network conditions experienced by a heterogeneous node in a tactical scenario as described in Section [3.2](#page-29-0) and test our adaptive [QoS](#page-69-1) mechanism to ensure [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements of user data flow, depending on the network condition.

3.3.2 Traffic scheduling

Traffic scheduling refers to the preferential treatment of certain packets to move ahead than the rest while being transmitted though the egress interface of the host. The Linux Kernel supports various [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) implementing [Differentiated Service](#page-68-9) [\(Diff-](#page-68-9)[Serv\)](#page-68-9) for traffic classes. These [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) prioritize certain packets by decreasing the time that these packets have to wait in the queue during transmission. [Qdisc](#page-69-6) implementations for scheduling the traffic can further be classified into priority and fairness based scheduling mechanisms for traffic classes. A recent [Qdisc](#page-69-6) implementation, merges the strict priority and fairness based scheduling mechanism into a hybrid scheduling mechanism. In the following sub-sections, we describe a [Qdisc](#page-69-6) implementation available for all these three scheduling mechanisms. In our experimental network setup (refer Figure [3.1\)](#page-26-1), we deploy these [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) at the egress interface: $h_1\text{-}eth_0$ of host, h_1 to schedule the packets once it is shaped with respect to the available network bandwidth, thereby ensuring "Priority" [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements of the user data flow.

3.3.2.1 Priority First-In-First-Out (PFIFO)

The [PFIFO](#page-69-21) [Qdisc](#page-69-6) is a class of priority based scheduling mechanism. This [Qdisc](#page-69-6) is the basis for providing a relatively simple method of supporting [DiffServ](#page-68-9) for traffic classes. Figure [3.9](#page-34-0) describes the structure of [PFIFO](#page-69-21) [Qdisc,](#page-69-6) where a band (or queue)

Figure 3.9 Structure of [Priority First-In-First-Out](#page-69-21) [\(PFIFO\)](#page-69-21) [Qdisc](#page-69-6)

is created for each priority based traffic classes. It consists of a classifier which classifies the incoming packets based on the [ToS](#page-70-6) bits set in the packet header and places them onto corresponding priority bands. It also consists of a scheduler which dequeues the packets from these *priority* bands in [FIFO](#page-68-17) order. As described in the Figure [3.9,](#page-34-0) the [PFIFO](#page-69-21) [Qdisc](#page-69-6) structure in our experimental setup, consists of four $bands_{0,1,2,3}$ inorder to enforce "Priority" [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements listed in Table [3.1](#page-28-0) for the distinct command and control services. The packets generated from these services were classified and enqueued to corresponding bands using *classifier*. Packets from *Medical Evacuation* message with [ToS](#page-70-6) bit '0x78' were enqueued to $band_0$. Similarly, packets from *Obstacle Alert* (0x50) were enqueued to $band_1$, packets from *Picture* $(0x28)$ to band₂ and packets from *Chat* $(0x04)$ and FFT $(0x00)$ to band₃. Within these strict *priority* bands, packets in $band₀$ has the highest priority and packets in $band₃$ has the lowest priority while scheduling the traffic. Packets from lower priority bands will only be dequeued once the higher priority bands become empty.

In our network setup, we attached three [PFIFO](#page-69-21) [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) referred by handles (11:), $(12:)$ and $(13:)$ under three [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) $(1:11)$, $(1:12)$ and $(1:13)$ respectively to schedule the traffic, once it is shaped with respect to [VHF,](#page-70-1) [UHF](#page-70-2) and [SatCom](#page-69-0) link capacity. Line₆ to Line₂₀ in Figure [3.10](#page-35-1) describe the commands to setup these three [PFIFO](#page-69-21) [Qdiscs.](#page-69-3) Line₆ describe the command to setup a [PFIFO](#page-69-21) [Qdisc](#page-69-6) by handle (11:) under [HTB](#page-68-5) [Qdisc](#page-69-6) (1:11) with four $bands_{0,1,2,3}$ while mapping the packets to the corresponding bands. Mapping occurs based on the [ToS](#page-70-6) octet of the packet with 16 different possible octet values. By defining the mapping sequence to '3 3 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0', we specify the classifier to map lowest priority packets from Chat and [FFT](#page-68-16) message to band₃, packets from Picture to band₂, Obstacle Alert to $band₁$, and packets from *Medical Evacuation* message including packets from other higher priority messages to $band_0$. In each of these four bands, a [netem](#page-69-22) [Qdisc](#page-69-6) was added to include 5 [milliseconds](#page-69-23) [\(ms\)](#page-69-23) delay to accommodate the classification and scheduling phases. Without this injection of minimum delay, we experienced packet loss in either of the two phases. Line₉ to Line₁₂ describe the command to setup four [netem](#page-69-22) [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) $(111:), (112:), (113:)$ and $(114:)$ with $bands_{0,1,2,3}$ respectively. Similarly, Line₇ and Line₈ describe the commands to include [PFIFO](#page-69-21) [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) (12) : and $(13:)$ under [HTB](#page-68-5) [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) $(1:12)$ and $(1:13)$ respectively, along with four [netem](#page-69-22) Q discs (Line₁₃ to Line₂₀) for each of these PFIFO [Qdiscs](#page-69-3).

```
1) tc qdisc add dev \langle interface> root handle 1: htb
2) tc class add dev <interface> parent 1: classid 1:1 htb rate 762kbit ceil 762kbit burst 762kb
3) tc class add dev <interface> parent 1:1 classid 1:11 htb rate 9.6kbit ceil 9.6kbit burst 10kb
4) tc class add dev <interface> parent 1:1 classid 1:12 htb rate 240kbit ceil 240kbit burst 240kb
5) tc class add dev <interface> parent 1:1 classid 1:13 htb rate 512kbit ceil 512kbit burst 512kb
6) tc qdisc add dev <interface> parent 1:11 handle 11: prio bands 4 priomap 3 3 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 7) tc qdisc add dev <interface> parent 1:12 handle 12: prio bands 4 priomap 3 3 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
8) tc qdisc add dev <interface> parent 1:13 handle 13: prio bands 4 priomap 3 3 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
9) tc qdisc add dev <interface> parent 11:1 handle 111: netem limit 1000 delay 5ms
10) tc qdisc add dev \langleinterface> parent 11:2 handle 112: netem limit 1000 delay 5ms
 11) tc qdisc add dev <interface> parent 11:3 handle 113: netem limit 1000 delay 5ms
12) tc qdisc add dev <interface> parent 11:4 handle 114: netem limit 1000 delay 5ms
 13) tc qdisc add dev <interface> parent 12:1 handle 121: netem limit 1000 delay 5ms
14) tc qdisc add dev <interface> parent 12:2 handle 122: netem limit 1000 delay 5ms
 15) tc qdisc add dev <interface> parent 12:3 handle 123: netem limit 1000 delay 5ms
16) tc qdisc add dev <interface> parent 12:4 handle 124: netem limit 1000 delay 5ms
 17) tc qdisc add dev <interface> parent 13:1 handle 131: netem limit 1000 delay 5ms
 18) tc qdisc add dev <interface> parent 13:2 handle 132: netem limit 1000 delay 5ms
 19) tc qdisc add dev <interface> parent 13:3 handle 133: netem limit 1000 delay 5ms
20) tc qdisc add dev <interface> parent 13:4 handle 134: netem limit 1000 delay 5ms
21) tc filter add dev \langleinterface\rangle parent 1:0 protocol ip prio 1 u32 match ip src \langleaddress\rangle22) match ip protocol 17 0xff flowid 1:1
23) tc filter add dev <interface> parent 1:1 protocol ip prio 1 u32 match ip dst <VHF-DST-address>
24) match ip protocol 17 0xff flowid 1:11
25) tc filter add dev <interface> parent 1:1 protocol ip prio 1 u32 match ip dst <UHF-DST-address>
26) match ip protocol 17 0xff flowid 1:12
 27) tc filter add dev <interface> parent 1:1 protocol ip prio 1 u32 match ip dst <SatCom-DST-address>
 28) match ip protocol 17 0xff flowid 1:13
```
Figure 3.10 Linux [Traffic Control](#page-70-14) [\(TC\)](#page-70-14) [PFIFO](#page-69-21) [Qdisc](#page-69-6) configuration commands

3.3.2.2 Enhanced Transmission Selection (ETS)

To ensure each traffic class has fair access to the network resources, fairness based [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) such as Stochastic Fair Queuing, Weighted Fair Queuing and [Deficit Round](#page-68-18) [Robin](#page-68-18) [\(DRR\)](#page-68-18) exist. These [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) serve flows from traffic classes by assigning certain percentage of bandwidth in round-robin order. The primary benefit of employing these fairness based [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) is that the bursty higher-priority traffic flows do not degrade the [QoS](#page-69-1) of lower-priority traffic flows. However, employing these *fairness* based [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) makes sense when none of the traffic classes should be allowed to dominate the low-bandwidth network link. But in tactical networks, high-priority traffic flows such as *Medical Evacuation* and *Obstacle Alert* should always take precedence over other traffic flows. So in our quest to find the right balance between priority and fair scheduling of traffic classes, we found Enhanced Transmission Selection Scheduler (ETS) Qdisc that merges the functionality of [PFIFO](#page-69-21) and [DRR](#page-68-18) Qdiscs in one Qdisc. ETS is a new Qdisc added to the recent Linux kernel 5.8 version [\[3\]](#page-60-15). ETS allows us to create bands (or queues) for strict priority as well as fairness based scheduling. The number of bands for both the category of scheduling can be configured. Packets from fair-sharing bands are only dequeued if all the bands reserved for strict priority is empty. Further, among fair-sharing bands the amount of bytes a band is allowed to dequeue in one round-robin can be configured.

We conducted experiments by replacing [PFIFO](#page-69-21) [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) under the leaf [HTB](#page-68-5) [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) by three [ETS](#page-68-4) [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) $(11:), (12:)$ and $(13:)$ to schedule *Medical Evacuation* and Obstacle Alert messages in strict priority order. Remaining messages were scheduled through fairness based mechanism. In our work, we term this mixture of scheduling mechanisms as a hybrid scheduling mechanism. Figure [3.11](#page-36-0) describes the structure of [ETS](#page-68-4) [Qdisc](#page-69-6) placed under three [HTB](#page-68-5) [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) (1:11), (1:12) and (1:13) to schedule

Figure 3.11 Structure of [Enhanced Transmission Selection](#page-68-4) [\(ETS\)](#page-68-4) [Qdisc](#page-69-6)

```
1) tc adisc add dev <interface> root handle 1: htb
2) tc class add dev \langle interface\rangle parent 1: classid 1:1 htb rate 762kbit ceil 762kbit burst 762kb
3) tc class add dev <interface> parent 1:1 classid 1:11 htb rate 9.6kbit ceil 9.6kbit burst 10kb
4) tc class add dev <interface> parent 1:1 classid 1:12 htb rate 240kbit ceil 240kbit burst 240kb
5) tc class add dev <interface> parent 1:1 classid 1:13 htb rate 512kbit ceil 512kbit burst 512kb
6) tc qdisc add dev <interface> parent 1:11 handle 11: ets strict 2 quanta 900 600 priomap 3 3 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
   tc qdisc add dev <interface> parent 1:12 handle 12: ets strict 2 quanta 900 600 priomap 3 3 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
8) tc qdisc add dev <interface> parent 1:13 handle 13: ets strict 2 quanta 900 600 priomap 3 3 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
9) tc qdisc add dev <interface> parent 11:1 handle 111: netem limit 1000 delay 5ms
10) tc qdisc add dev <interface> parent 11:2 handle 112: netem limit 1000 delay 5ms
11) tc qdisc add dev <interface> parent 11:3 handle 113: netem limit 1000 delay 5ms
12) tc qdisc add dev <interface> parent 11:4 handle 114: netem limit 1000 delay 5ms
13) tc qdisc add dev <interface> parent 12:1 handle 121: netem limit 1000 delay 5ms
14) tc qdisc add dev <interface> parent 12:2 handle 122: netem limit 1000 delay 5ms
15) tc qdisc add dev <interface> parent 12:3 handle 123: netem limit 1000 delay 5ms
16) tc qdisc add dev <interface> parent 12:4 handle 124: netem limit 1000 delay 5ms
17) tc qdisc add dev <interface> parent 13:1 handle 131: netem limit 1000 delay 5ms
18) tc qdisc add dev <interface> parent 13:2 handle 132: netem limit 1000 delay 5ms
19) tc qdisc add dev <interface> parent 13:3 handle 133: netem limit 1000 delay 5ms
20) tc qdisc add dev <interface> parent 13:4 handle 134: netem limit 1000 delay 5ms
21) tc filter add dev \langleinterface\rangle parent 1:0 protocol ip prio 1 u32 match ip src \langleaddress\rangle22) match ip protocol 17 0xff flowid 1:1<br>23) to filter add dev <interface> parent
     tc filter add dev <interface> parent 1:1 protocol ip prio 1 u32 match ip dst <VHF-DST-address>
24) match ip protocol 17 0xff flowid 1:11
25) tc filter add dev <interface> parent 1:1 protocol ip prio 1 u32 match ip dst <UHF-DST-address>
26) match ip protocol 17 0xff flowid 1:12
27) tc filter add dev <interface> parent 1:1 protocol ip prio 1 u32 match ip dst <SatCom-DST-address>
28) match ip protocol 17 0xff flowid 1:13
```
Figure 3.12 Linux [Traffic Control](#page-70-14) [\(TC\)](#page-70-14) [PFIFO](#page-69-21) [Qdisc](#page-69-6) configuration commands

the traffic flow, once it is shaped according to the radio communication technology (refer Figure [3.5\)](#page-32-0). Line₆ to Line₂₀ in Figure [3.12](#page-36-1) describe the commands to setup three [ETS](#page-68-4) [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) under these three [HTB](#page-68-5) [Qdiscs.](#page-69-3) Two bands $(band_0 \text{ and } band_1)$ were configured for strict *priority* scheduling while $band₂$ and $band₃$ were configured for *fairness* based scheduling. 900 bytes were dequeued from $band_2$ in one-round robin while 600 bytes were dequeued from $band_3$. Configuration commands 'ets strict 2 quanta 900 600' in Line_{6.7.8} in Figure [3.12](#page-36-1) describe this *hybrid* scheduling setup. Similar to the mapping sequence in case of [PFIFO](#page-69-21) [Qdisc,](#page-69-6) in [ETS](#page-68-4) [Qdisc](#page-69-6) we map packets coming from *Medical Evacuation* and *Obstacle Alert* to strict *priority* bands band₀ and band₁ respectively. Packets from *Picture* to fairness based band₂ and packets from *Chat* and FFT to $band_3$. Command 'priomap 3 3 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0' in Line_{6,7,8} describes this mapping setup. In each of these four bands, a [netem](#page-69-22) [Qdisc](#page-69-6) was added to include 5 [milliseconds](#page-69-23) [\(ms\)](#page-69-23) inter-packet delay to avoid packet loss during classification and scheduling phases, similar to the setup

in [PFIFO](#page-69-21) [Qdisc.](#page-69-6) Line₂₀ to Line₂₀ in Figure [3.12](#page-36-1) describe commands to inject this delay.

3.4 QoS Implementation using Open vSwitch (OVS)

Considering the architecture of [OVS,](#page-69-11) as described in the Section [2.2.1](#page-16-0) of chapter [2,](#page-14-0) we make use of *flow table* and *meter table* features for our adaptive QoS mechanism. Using north-bound [REST](#page-69-7) interface of Ryu [SDN](#page-69-2) controller, flow and meter entries can be inserted into flow and meter table respectively using OpenFlow protocol. Table [3.2](#page-37-1) lists the *flow entries* inserted into *flow tables* of OVS_1 in our network setup (refer Figure [3.1\)](#page-26-1). In the *flow table* of OVS_1 in our network setup as listed in Table [3.2,](#page-37-1) we have defined two kinds of flow rules: one with the highest priority (65535) to match [Link Layer Discovery Protocol](#page-69-24) [\(LLDP\)](#page-69-24) packets and the other with Priority '1' to match [IP](#page-68-2) packets. The matched [LLDP](#page-69-24) packets were sent to the Ryu controller running on the remote host '192.168.1.101' (refer Figure [3.1\)](#page-26-1). A Topology Discovery module running on the Ryu controller, on receiving these [LLDP](#page-69-24) packets from $OVS₁$, discovers the network topology and inserts [MAC](#page-69-4) address table entries in flow table₁. We defined 15 flow entries with *Priority* '1' in flow table₀ of OVS_1 to match [IP](#page-68-2) packets coming from the source host '192.168.10.10' destined towards [VHF](#page-70-1) (192.168.20.10), [UHF](#page-70-2) (192.168.30.10) and [SatCom](#page-69-0) (192.168.40.10) destination hosts as shown in Figure [3.1.](#page-26-1) Within these 15 flow rules, 3 sets consisting of 5 rules each were used to match [IP](#page-68-2) packets with distinct [DSCP](#page-68-6) values for a combination of source and destination [IP](#page-68-2) addresses. Packets coming from Medical Evacuation message were matched using [DSCP](#page-68-6) value 30. Similarly, packets from Obstacle Alert, Picture, Chat and [FFT](#page-68-16) were matched using [DSCP](#page-68-6) values 20 , 10, 1 and 0 respec-tively. [DSCP](#page-68-6) values were used in the *Match Fields* to ensure [Time-of-Expiry](#page-70-7) [\(ToE\)](#page-70-7) [QoS](#page-69-1) requirement of these messages using [REST](#page-69-7) applications running on the Ryu controller. Packets that were matched with respect to source and destination addresses, were assigned a *meter id* from the *meter table* of OVS_1 to shape the data flow corresponding to [VHF,](#page-70-1) [UHF](#page-70-2) and [SatCom](#page-69-0) link bandwidth. All the packets that were matched with flow rule *Priority* '1' was forwarded to *flow table*₁ on OVS_1 , having further instructions in the form of [MAC](#page-69-4) address table entries to send it to the destination host.

In Table [3.3,](#page-38-1) we list a set of rate limiting entries inserted into the meter table of [OVS](#page-69-11)¹ corresponding to the data rates supported by a [SatCom](#page-69-0) link (512, 256, 128,

64 and 32 [kbps\)](#page-68-8), a [UHF](#page-70-2) radio (240, 120, 60, 30 and 15 [kbps\)](#page-68-8) and a [VHF](#page-70-1) radio (9.6, 4.8, 2.4, 1.2 and 0.6 kbps) as described in the Section [3.2.](#page-29-0) Entries for the latter were rounded off due to *meter table* not supporting neither decimal nor bits per second entries. In our adaptive [QoS](#page-69-1) mechanism we use these entries in the meter table to adaptively attach these entries with unique meter IDs to the *flow entries* of *flow* $table₀$ as defined in Table [3.2.](#page-37-1) We specified the *action* for each of these meter bands to 'DROP', since [OVS](#page-69-11) do not support 'DSCP REMARK' action for meter bands as mentioned in the Section [2.2.1](#page-16-0) of chapter [2](#page-14-0) while describing the components of a meter table.

3.5 The Adaptive QoS Mechanism

In this Section, we describe the mechanism to adaptively ensure [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements of the user data flow through [REST](#page-69-7) applications running on the north-bound interface of the remote Ryu controller ϵ as described in Figure [3.1.](#page-26-1) First, we describe the commands to setup *meter table* and *flow table* of $OVS₁$ with initial configurations. Later, we describe the algorithms to manipulate these configurations of *meter table* and *flow table*, thus ensuring [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements of user data flow, adaptively.

Ryu [SDN](#page-69-2) controller supports retrieving and updating configurations of OpenFlow switches through the use of applications by exposing [REST](#page-69-7) [APIs](#page-68-19). 'ryu.app.ofctl_rest' is one such application providing [REST](#page-69-7) [APIs](#page-68-19) to configure OpenFlow switches such as [Open vSwitch](#page-69-11) [\(OVS\)](#page-69-11). Once Ryu controller connects to the [OVS](#page-69-11) through OpenFlow channel, we can run custom [REST](#page-69-7) applications using [APIs](#page-68-19) provided by 'ofctl_rest' application. Similarly, Ryu provides 'rest qos' application specifically designed to provide [APIs](#page-68-19) to install and manipulate meter entries in the meter table. Figure [3.13](#page-39-1) describe the [REST](#page-69-7) python script to insert entries into the meter table of an [OVS.](#page-69-11) In Line₁, we import the python 'requests' library to send [HTTP](#page-68-20) requests to the [OVS.](#page-69-11) [Hypertext Transfer Protocol](#page-68-20) [\(HTTP\)](#page-68-20) defines the underlying format to how client formulates a request and how *server* responds to it with a response message. In our network setup, the remote host with [IP](#page-68-2) address 192.168.1.101 on which the Ryu controller instance is running acts as a [HTTP](#page-68-20) client and the $OVS₁$ of which the meter table has to be configured, acts as a server responding with insertion of entries into it's *meter table*. Line₂ specifies the [IP](#page-68-2) address and the port number on [Open vSwitch Database](#page-69-12) [\(OVSDB\)](#page-69-12) of OVS_1 is listening to get connected to the Ryu controller through OpenFlow channel. Line₃ specifies the [URL](#page-70-15) of the $OVS₁$ with distinct 'datapath-id'. Once the connection to $OVS₁$ is established within this [REST](#page-69-7) application, using Line_4 and Line_5 we insert meter entries as listed in Table [3.3](#page-38-1) into the meter table. 15 meter entries with distinct 'meter id' and 'rate' were added using the [datapath identifier](#page-68-21) [\(dpid\)](#page-68-21) of OVS_1 listing the data rates supported by [VHF,](#page-70-1) [UHF](#page-70-2) and [SatCom](#page-69-0) radios.

Similarly, flow table₀ of OVS_1 is configured using [REST](#page-69-7) application script as de-scribed in the Figure [3.14.](#page-39-2) Using $\text{Line}_{1,2,3}$ we establish [HTTP](#page-68-20) connection to the

```
1) import requests
  2) ovsdb_address = "tcp:127.0.0.1:6632"
  3) requests.put('http://192.168.1.101:8080/v1.0/conf/switches/<datapath-id>/ovsdb addr',
                     data = ovsdb<sub>-address</sub>)
  4) meter_entry = {"dpid": <id>, "flags": "KBPS", "meter_id": <id>,
                         "bands": [\{\text{``type''}: \text{``DROP''}, \text{``rate''}: <\text{rate}>}, \text{``burst_size''}: <\text{burst_rate}> \}]\}5) requests.post('http://192.168.1.101:8080/qos/meter/<datapath-id>',
                     data = meter{\_}entry)Figure 3.13REST application to configure meter table on OVS<sub>1</sub>
```
[Open vSwitch Database](#page-69-12) [\(OVSDB\)](#page-69-12) on $OVS₁$. Line₄ describes the format of flow rule to be inserted into $flow$ table₀ as a key-value pair. The key-value pair 'table_id: 0' specifies the *flow table_{id}*, to which the flow rule has to be inserted along with [dpid](#page-68-21) of the switch [\(i.e](#page-68-7) [dpid](#page-68-21) of OVS_1). We specify the 'idle' and 'hard' timeout of this flow rule to '0' defining the existence of this rule inside flow table₀ to an infinite amount of time. As part of the Match Fields, we insert [IP](#page-68-2) source and destination addresses along with [DSCP](#page-68-6) values of [IP](#page-68-2) packets (eth_type: 2048) through [UDP](#page-70-5) protocol (ip proto: 17). A total of 15 flow rules were added to flow table₀ to uniquely distinguish packets coming from 5 distinct command and control services (refer Table [3.1\)](#page-28-0) destined towards [VHF](#page-70-1) (192.168.20.10), [UHF](#page-70-2) (192.168.30.10) and [SatCom](#page-69-0) (192.168.40.10) destination hosts.

```
1) import requests
  2) ovsdb_address = "tcp:127.0.0.1:6632"
  3) requests.put('http://192.168.1.101:8080/v1.0/conf/switches/<datapath-id>/ovsdb addr',
                    data = ovsdb\_address)4) flow_entry = \{"dpid": <id>, "table_id": 0, "idle_timeout": 0, "hard_timeout": 0, "priority": 1,
                       "match":{"ipv4_src": "192.168.10.10",                              "ipv4_dst": <dst_addr>,
                      "ip_dscp": \langledscp_value\rangle, "ip_proto": 17, "eth_type": 2048\},
                      "actions":[\{\text{"type} \text{''}: \text{GOTO\_TABLE"}, \text{ "table_id"}: 1\},{``type":''METER", "meter_id": <id>}5) requests.post('http://192.168.1.101:8080/stats/flowentry/add', data = flow_entry)
REST application for initial configuration of flow table<sub>0</sub> on OVS<sub>1</sub>
```
3.5.0.1 Adaptive Shaping Mechanism using meter table of Open vSwitch

We assume that in Software Defined Radios, an application running on [SDN](#page-69-2) controller should have access to the information about the current radio modulation (or waveform) being used. Depending on the data rate supported by the current radio modulation, the application can modify flow entries in the flow table of OpenFlow switch to adaptively serve [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements of the user data flow. Considering this assumption, we developed a [REST](#page-69-7) application running on the Ryu controller in our network setup, to gain access to the current data rate on $r_1\text{-}eth_1$, $r_1\text{-}eth_2$ and $r_1\text{-}eth_3$ interfaces of the Radio Host (192.168.10.1) emulating ever-changing link data rates at a heterogeneous node as described in Section [3.3.1.2.](#page-31-0)

To serve the information about the data rates on these egress interfaces, we made use of tinyrpc framework [\[16\]](#page-61-9) written in python for making and handling [Remote](#page-69-25)

```
1) from tinyrpc.dispatch import RPCDispatcher
2) from tinyrpc.transports.wsgi import WsgiServerTransport
3) from tinyrpc.server.gevent import RPCServerGreenlets
4) from tinyrpc.dispatch import RPCDispatcher
5) import subprocess
6) dispatcher = RPCDispatcher()
7) transport = WsgiServerTransport(queue class=gevent.queue.Queue)
8) rpc_server = RPCServerGreenlets(transport, JSONRPCProtocol(), dispatcher)
9) @dispatcher.public
10) def get_vhf_data_rate():
11) output = subprocess.check_output(['tc', '-s', '-j', 'class', 'show', 'dev', 'r1-eth1'])
12 vhf data rate = parse 'output' variable to get the data rate on r1-eth1 interface
13) return vhf_data_rate
14) @dispatcher.public
15) def get_uhf_data_rate():
16) output = subprocess.check_output(['tc', ' - s', ' - j', 'class', 'show', 'dev', 'r1-eth2'])
17 uhf data rate = parse 'output' variable to get the data rate on r1-eth2 interface
18) return uhf_data_rate
19) @dispatcher.public
20) def get_satcom_data_rate():
21) output = subprocess.check_output([′tc′, '-s′, '-j′, 'class′, 'show′, 'dev′, 'r1-eth3′])
22) satcom data-rate = parse 'output' variable to get the data rate on r1-eth3 interface
23) return satcom_data_rate
24) rpc_server.serve_forever()
```


[Procedure Call](#page-69-25) [\(RPC\)](#page-69-25). We implemented a [RPC](#page-69-25) server running on the Radio Host while exposing the information on data rates to [RPC](#page-69-25) clients. Figure [3.15](#page-40-0) describe the pseudo-code to implement this server. Relevant methods were imported from tinyrpc framework for the server implementation using $\text{Line}_{1,2,3,4}$ in the figure. We used python 'subprocess' module to fork a process on these three interfaces to get the information on data rate being shaped at. We used [RPC](#page-69-25) Dispatcher (Line $_6$) to serialize this information, before being sent over to the [RPC](#page-69-25) clients. The request made by [RPC](#page-69-25) clients was forwarded to [RPC](#page-69-25) server through the [Web Server](#page-70-16) [Gateway Interface](#page-70-16) [\(WSGI\)](#page-70-16) as described in Line₇. Using Line₈, we start [RPC](#page-69-25) server as a background greenlet events. Member functions 'get_vhf_data_rate' (Line_{10}), 'get_uhf_data_rate' (Line₁₅) and 'get_satcom_data_rate' (Line₂₀) are used to get the information about the data rates on corresponding interfaces. The data rate value returned by these member functions will be serialized by [RPC](#page-69-25) Dispatcher and served forever to the [RPC](#page-69-25) clients using Line_{24} .

To receive the information on current radio link data rate and adaptively shape the data rate according to it, we wrote a [REST](#page-69-7) application running on Ryu controller acting as a [RPC](#page-69-25) client forwarding [HTTP](#page-68-20) requests to the [RPC](#page-69-25) server as described before. Figure [3.16](#page-41-1) describes the pseudo-code of this [REST](#page-69-7) application wherein, for every 2 seconds we receive the information on the current [VHF,](#page-70-1) [UHF](#page-70-2) and [SatCom](#page-69-0) link data rate (Line_{10,11,12,13}). Once we receive this information, we check whether the data rate has changed on these three interfaces. If Yes, we modify the flow entry on $OVS₁$ as described in Line₄ of Figure [3.14](#page-39-2) to corresponding meter IDs defined in

```
1) from tinyrpc.protocols.jsonrpc import JSONRPCProtocol
2) from tinyrpc.transports.http import HttpPostClientTransport
3) from tinyrpc import RPCClient
4) import time
5) import sys
6) rpc client = RPCClient(JSONRPCProtocol(), HttpPostClientTransport('http://localhost:8080/'))
7) remote_server = rpc_client.get_proxy()
8) try:
9) while True:
10) time.sleep(2)
11) vhf data rate = remote server.get vhf data rate()
12) uhf\_data\_rate = remote\_server.get\_uhf\_data\_rate()13) satcom data rate = remote server.get satcom data rate()
14) if 'there is a change in data rate':
15) \# OVS<sub>1</sub>
               # to specify corresponding 'meter id' on meter table
15) except KeyboardInterrupt:
16) sys.exit(1)
```
Figure 3.16 Pseudo-code of [REST](#page-69-7) application to determine the radio link quality and adaptively ensure [QoS](#page-69-1) requirement

the meter table of $OVS₁$ (refer Table [3.3\)](#page-38-1). To POST this modification request to $OVS₁$ $OVS₁$, requests.post('http://192.168.1.101:8080/stats/flowentry/modify_strict', data $= \text{flow_entry}$ was used.

3.5.0.2 Adaptive Mechanism to ensure Time-of-Expiry (ToE) QoS requirement

To enforce [Time-of-Expiry](#page-70-7) [\(ToE\)](#page-70-7) [QoS](#page-69-1) requirement for messages, we used the flow *timeout* feature of [OVS.](#page-69-11) The initial flow entries installed in the *flow table*₀ on OVS_1 had *timeout* entries specified to '0' as described in Table [3.2,](#page-37-1) meaning these entries would remain infinitely. But we can modify these timeout value of the initial flow entries with respect to the different [DSCP](#page-68-6) value entries. We wrote a [REST](#page-69-7) application to consistently monitor the number of packets matching the Match Fields in each flow entry. When the number of packets matched exceed '0', the timeout value of the corresponding flow entry was modified to [Time-of-Expiry](#page-70-7) [\(ToE\)](#page-70-7) value of the message as mentioned in Table [3.1.](#page-28-0) After exceeding this timeout value, the flow entry was automatically deleted, resulting in the absence of Match Fields for the packets from a particular message. Due to this absence, the packets from messages exceeding ToE were dropped at OVS_1 . Line₄ to Line₁₂ in Figure [3.17](#page-42-0) describe the pseudo-code of this [REST](#page-69-7) application, used to ensure [ToE](#page-70-7) [QoS](#page-69-1) requirement of user data flow.

```
1) import requests
2) ovsdb_address = "tcp:127.0.0.1:6632"
3) requests.put('http://192.168.1.101:8080/v1.0/conf/switches/<datapath-id>/ovsdb addr',
                   data = ovsdb<sub>-address</sub>)
4) try:<br>5)
5) def set_timeout_for_flow_entry():<br>6) while True:
(6) while True:<br>(7) time.sk
(7) time.sleep(1)<br>8) \# Get the pa
8) \# Get the packet count matching the flow entry 9) \# If the number of packets matching the flow er
9) \# If the number of packets matching the flow entry is > 0:<br>
\# Modify the 'hard_timeout' value of the flow entry to
                        # ToE value
11) except KeyboardInterrupt:<br>12) sys.exit(1)
           sys.exit(1)
```

```
Figure 3.17 Pseudo-code of the REST application to ensure Time-of-Expiry (ToE) QoS re-
quirement of user data flow
```
4

Evaluation

In this chapter, we discuss the experimental results of our adaptive [QoS](#page-69-1) framework, designed based on [Software-Defined Networking](#page-69-2) [\(SDN\)](#page-69-2) paradigm. Network set up as shown in Figure [3.1](#page-26-1) and described in the previous chapter was used to perform experiments to quantify the performance of the proposed framework. Messages from different [Command and Control](#page-68-3) [\(C2\)](#page-68-3) services having distinct [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements were generated and sent across the nodes in the network set up to evaluate the provisioning of [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements by this framework. We begin with experiments to prove the need for a traffic shaping mechanism to avoid packet loss across heterogeneous communication links supporting different data rates as described in section [3.2.](#page-29-0) Then we conduct experiments to evaluate 'Priority' [QoS](#page-69-1) requirement for the messages by a combination of traffic scheduling mechanisms that are available within Linux Kernel. Using custom applications running on top of the [SDN](#page-69-2) controller, experiments were conducted to prove the adaptability of the proposed [QoS](#page-69-1) framework in ensuring ['Time-of-Expiry](#page-70-7) [\(ToE\)](#page-70-7)' [QoS](#page-69-1) requirement and shaping the traffic based on the current available bandwidth in a tactical scenario. Drawbacks of this adaptive mechanism were discussed along with a scope for future enhancements.

4.1 Message Generation and Logging

Messages with respect to five distinct [C2](#page-68-3) services were generated using [MGEN](#page-69-5) traffic generator with appropriate 'Priority' as discussed in section [3.1.](#page-27-0) These messages were generated and sent from source host h_1 (192.168.10.10) to destination hosts h_2 $(192.168.20.10), h_3$ $(192.168.30.10)$ and h_4 $(192.168.40.10)$ using the commands as shown in Figure [4.1.](#page-45-1) Line₁ to Line₅ in this figure describe the commands used to send the messages to host h_2 . Similarly Line₆ to Line₁₀ and Line₁₁ to Line₁₅ describe the commands to send messages to hosts h_3 and h_4 respectively. All these messages were sent as a burst to these destination hosts to quantify the [QoS](#page-69-1) framework's ability to differentiate the packets belonging to distinct services. These messages were generated on $0.0th$ second and turned 'OFF' on the next [\(i.e](#page-68-7) 1.0st) second to

- 2) <Packet Received timestamp> <flowd-id> <Packet Sequence Number>
- 2) <SRC-IP> <DST-IP> <Packet Sent timestamp>

Figure 4.2 MGEN log at the destination hosts h_2 , h_3 and h_4

define the burst. Each of these flow of messages were set to use [UDP](#page-70-5) as transport protocol consisting of 100 packets each with a payload size of 1024 bytes to evaluate the loss of packets while ensuring [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements. [ToS](#page-70-6) byte of these packets were encoded with appropriate value as defined in section [3.1.](#page-27-0) Since the [ToS](#page-70-6) byte is a per-socket attribute, meaning the same [ToS](#page-70-6) bits are applied to all the packets going through the same port, distinct ports ranging from 5000 to 5014 were used in the source host h_1 to send fifteen distinct flows [\(i.e](#page-68-7) 1 to 15) across three destination hosts. $Line₁$ in Figure [4.2](#page-45-2) represents the command to receive these messages at the hosts h_2 , h_3 and h_4 . Line₂ represents the pattern of log messages received, using which we compute packet delay (Packet Received timestamp−Packet Sent timestamp) and packet loss (using *Packet Sequence Number*), and we use *flow-id* to quantify the system's ability to differentiate the five types of messages.

4.2 Experimental results

In this section, we discuss the experimental results of our adaptive [QoS](#page-69-1) mechanism over the network setup as described in Figure [3.1](#page-26-1) and explained in the previous chapter. We conducted experiments over five scenarios. First, four classes of user traffic were sent through the network without any traffic shaping and scheduling mechanism at the source host, h_1 ; this is the baseline for our comparative study. Then for the second scenario, we shaped the traffic using [HTB](#page-68-5) [Qdisc](#page-69-6) according to the data rates supported by [VHF,](#page-70-1) [UHF](#page-70-2) and [SatCom](#page-69-0) link, and scheduled these shaped data flows with strict priority based [PFIFO](#page-69-21) [Qdisc.](#page-69-6) Both these [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) were implemented on the egress port h_1 -eth₀ of host h_1 . In the third scenario, we implemented the hybrid priority scheduling using [ETS](#page-68-4) [Qdisc](#page-69-6) at h_1 . In the fourth, we conducted experiments to adaptively shape the data flow through a combination of meter table at OVS¹ and [Remote Procedure Calls](#page-69-8) [\(RPC\)](#page-69-8) between the interfaces emulating the radio link data rate and a [REST](#page-69-7) application running on the controller to modify the meter entries corresponding to the current link data rate. As part of the final scenario, we present the results of ensuring [ToE](#page-70-7) [QoS](#page-69-1) for the messages using [REST](#page-69-7) application running on the north-bound interface of the Ryu [SDN](#page-69-2) controller.

4.2.1 No traffic scheduling mechanisms

As part of the first experimental scenario, traffic shaping and scheduling mechanisms were not implemented at the source host, h_1 . This experiment is the baseline for our comparative study. We emulated the maximum data rate supported by a [VHF,](#page-70-1) [UHF](#page-70-2) and [SatCom](#page-69-0) link over interfaces $r_1\text{-}eth_1$, $r_1\text{-}eth_2$ and $r_1\text{-}eth_3$ respectively of Radio Host (192.168.10.1) as shown in our network set up (refer Figure [3.1\)](#page-26-1). As mentioned in the previous section, a burst of messages were generated at host h_1 and sent to [VHF](#page-70-1) (h_2) , [UHF](#page-70-2) (h_3) and [SatCom](#page-69-0) (h_4) destinations hosts over corresponding egress interfaces of Radio Host, r_1 . Figure. [4.3](#page-46-1) shows the [End-to-End](#page-68-1) [\(E2E\)](#page-68-1) delay experienced by packets over [VHF](#page-70-1) (left) and [UHF](#page-70-2) (right) links. Packets over [VHF](#page-70-1) link experienced a delay of up-to 420 seconds while packets over [UHF](#page-70-2) link experienced up-to 20 seconds delay. Without any scheduling mechanism, all the five messages were treated with equal priority. In addition, packet loss were computed over both the links. Since traffic was not shaped and scheduled at the source host h_1 , equal proportion of packet loss among messages was reported. While messages over [VHF](#page-70-1) link reported packet loss of $6(\pm 1)\%$, over [UHF](#page-70-2) link packet loss was just 1%, reported over repeated experiments. Since there was no packet loss over [Sat-](#page-69-0)[Com](#page-69-0) link and [E2E](#page-68-1) delay for packets was not descriptive enough to compare with the delay over [UHF](#page-70-2) link, the plot for [SatCom](#page-69-0) link was not shown in the figure. Because of no [Differentiated Service](#page-68-9) [\(DiffServ\)](#page-68-9) for messages, Priority [QoS](#page-69-1) requirement was not satisfied along with packet loss. Hence there was a need for employing a traffic shaping and scheduling mechanism, the results of which will be discussed in the following sections.

Figure 4.3 [E2E](#page-68-1) delay for [IP](#page-68-2) packets sent over [VHF](#page-70-1) and [UHF](#page-70-2) links without any scheduling mechanism

4.2.2 Traffic shaping and strict priority scheduling mechanism

As part of our initial effort to provide [Differentiated Service](#page-68-9) [\(DiffServ\)](#page-68-9) to messages, we considered using [Priority First-In-First-Out](#page-69-21) [\(PFIFO\)](#page-69-21) [Qdisc.](#page-69-6) To avoid packet loss during a burst of messages as we had seen in the previous experimental scenario, we began with an attempt to include [HTB](#page-68-5) [Qdisc](#page-69-6) resulting in a tree structure as shown in the Figure [3.5.](#page-32-0) Using this tree setup, traffic was shaped at the outbound interface $h_1\text{-}eth_0$ of source host h_1 with respect to the data rates supported by [VHF,](#page-70-1) [UHF](#page-70-2) and [SatCom](#page-69-0) links. [PFIFO](#page-69-21) [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) were placed under [Hierarchy Token Bucket](#page-68-5) [\(HTB\)](#page-68-5) [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) to introduce strict priority among the messages, by creating four bands (or queues) within it, as described in the section [3.3.2.1.](#page-33-1)

Figure [4.4](#page-47-1) shows the [End-to-End](#page-68-1) [\(E2E\)](#page-68-1) delay for packets from different messages when traffic was shaped from 0.6 kbps (leftmost) to 9.6 kbps (rightmost) with re-spect to the data rates supported by a [VHF](#page-70-1) link. Since packets from *Chat* and FFT messages were enqueued to the lowest-priority bands, packets from them were not received until all the packets from *Picture*, *Obstacle Alert* and *Medical Evacuation* are received. Packets from lower-priority messages were received only after receiving all the packets from higher-priority messages. Packets from Chat and FFT messages experienced the longest delay within this strict priority mechanism. While shaping the traffic at 0.6 kbps, the first packets from these low-priority messages were not received on the destination host h_2 until 4000 seconds since they were sent, which is a delay larger than the [Time-of-Expiry](#page-70-7) [\(ToE\)](#page-70-7) for these packets (refer Table [3.1\)](#page-28-0). Moreover, we have not considered excessive amount of high-priority traffic scenario in this experiment, which will further delay the reception of these low-priority traffic. But using this kind of scheduling mechanism makes sense when higher-priority traffic should always take precedence over lower-priority ones. Similarly, Figure [4.5](#page-48-1) and Figure [4.6](#page-48-2) depicts [E2E](#page-68-1) delay experienced by packets sent over the data rates supported by [UHF](#page-70-2) and [SatCom](#page-69-0) links, respectively. One thing to notice by comparing both the figures is that the difference in scale of [E2E](#page-68-1) delay for packets through their lowest data rate, [i.e](#page-68-7) through 15 [kbps](#page-68-8) and 32 [kbps](#page-68-8) for [UHF](#page-70-2) and [SatCom](#page-69-0) respectively. It clearly depicts that the packet delay reduces by half in case of [SatCom](#page-69-0)

Figure 4.4 [E2E](#page-68-1) delay for [IP](#page-68-2) packets with strict priority scheduling over different data rates of a [VHF](#page-70-1) link

Figure 4.6 [E2E](#page-68-1) delay for [IP](#page-68-2) packets with strict priority scheduling over different data rates of a [SatCom](#page-69-0) link

link when the data rate was shaped at almost the double than that of [UHF](#page-70-2) link data rate. Further, none of the packets from these messages were lost because of the shaping mechanism implemented at the outbound interface of host h_1 . As explained in the Section [3.3.2.2,](#page-35-0) we can combine the priority scheduling with fairness based scheduling mechanism to avoid bandwidth starvation for lower-priority traffic using [ETS](#page-68-4) [Qdisc.](#page-69-6) The result of which will be discussed in the next section.

4.2.3 Traffic shaping and hybrid priority scheduling mechanism

In this section, we discuss the effect of including hybrid traffic scheduling mechanism using [Enhanced Transmission Selection](#page-68-4) [\(ETS\)](#page-68-4) [Qdisc](#page-69-6) with it's configuration as described in section [3.3.2.2.](#page-35-0) Figure [4.7](#page-49-1) shows the [End-to-End](#page-68-1) [\(E2E\)](#page-68-1) delay for the packets from different messages when traffic was shaped with respect to the data rates supported by a [VHF](#page-70-1) link [\(i.e](#page-68-7) 0.6, 1.2, 2.4, 4.8 and 9.6 kbps). [ETS](#page-68-4) [Qdisc](#page-69-6) was configured to schedule the packets from *Medical Evacuation* and *Obstacle Alert*

messages in strict priority order, and packets from Picture, Chat and [FFT](#page-68-16) messages in a fairness based scheduling mechanism. Because of this configuration, packets from fairness based scheduling mechanism were only received after receiving all the packets from strict priority mechanism. We term this combination of priority and fairness as hybrid scheduling mechanism. We considered to depict the delay for packets over [VHF](#page-70-1) links since the effect of introducing this hybrid scheduling mechanism has better visibility over low data rates when compared with that of higher data rates.

Bandwidth was equally shared among the two queues (or bands) that were reserved for fairness based scheduling. One band was configured to queue the packets from Picture message, while the other was configured to queue the packets from both Chat and [FFT](#page-68-16) messages. Because of this configuration, packets from Picture message were received sooner than the packets from the other two messages even though the first packets from all these three messages were received simultaneously. Further, to prove that all the packets from these five messages were categorized and placed onto respective bands as described in section [3.3.2.2,](#page-35-0) we continuously monitored the occupancy of these bands in packets, over time. Figure [4.8](#page-50-0) shows the occupancy of all the four bands in the two [ETS](#page-68-4) [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) while shaping the data rate with respect to the rates supported by [VHF](#page-70-1) and [UHF](#page-70-2) links, simultaneously from lowest to highest. 100 packets from each Medical Evacuation, Obstacle Alert and Picture messages were enqueued and dequeued from bands: band-0, band-1 and band-2 respectively. Total 200 packets with 100 each from Chat and [FFT](#page-68-16) messages were enqueued and dequeued from band-3.

4.2.4 Adaptive Traffic shaping mechanism using meter table of Open vSwitch

In this section we discuss the experimental results of adaptively shaping the traffic using meter table feature of [Open vSwitch](#page-69-11) [\(OVS\)](#page-69-11) based on the current link data rate on the interfaces of Radio Host as described in section [3.5.0.1.](#page-39-0) We also show the

Figure 4.8 Occupancy of packets on [ETS](#page-68-4) [Qdisc](#page-69-6) bands over time through [VHF](#page-70-1) and [UHF](#page-70-2) links

experimental result of addressing the drawback of this adaptive shaping using meter table, by excluding shaping by meter table and replacing it with [HTB](#page-68-5) [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) at the [OVS](#page-69-11) egress port. The experiments in this section was conducted on the assumption that, the applications running on the north-bound interface of the [SDN](#page-69-2) controller should have access to the information about the current modulation (or waveform) being used in the radio.

To study the effect of adaptive shaping capabilities of meter table, we conducted five experiments with respect to the data rates supported by [VHF,](#page-70-1) [UHF](#page-70-2) and [SatCom](#page-69-0) links. Messages that were generated and sent from source host h_1 were shaped and scheduled using [ETS](#page-68-4) [Qdisc](#page-69-6) at interface $h_1\text{-}eth_0$, with respect to the maximum data rates supported by these links. Then, in each of these five different experiments, we changed the data rates on interfaces: r_1-eth_1 , r_1-eth_2 and r_1-eth_3 to emulate the five distinct data rates (from highest to lowest) supported by [VHF,](#page-70-1) [UHF](#page-70-2) and [SatCom](#page-69-0) links respectively (refer Figure [3.1\)](#page-26-1). Considering these changes on the interfaces, using already installed rate limiting entries in the *meter table* of OVS_1 as described in Table [3.3,](#page-38-1) we direct the flow towards corresponding meter IDs to shape the data rate with respect to the data rate emulated by these interfaces.

Figure 4.9 Packets received when traffic was shaped by utilizing meter table of [OVS](#page-69-11) by manual re-directing to corresponding meter entries

As part of the first experiment, we shaped the data rate on these interfaces to emulate the highest data rate supported by corresponding links. Then we modified the flow entries in flow table to direct the flow destined towards $SatCom(h₄)$, [UHF](#page-70-2) (h_3) and [VHF](#page-70-1) (h_2) hosts to meter IDs 1, 6 and 11 respectively. In the subsequent four experiments, we reduced the data rates on these interfaces and similarly as before we modified flow entries to re-direct them towards $(2, 7, 12)$, $(3, 8, 13)$, $(4, 9, 12)$ 14) and $(5, 10, 15)$ destined to h_4 , h_3 and h_2 hosts respectively. Figure [4.9](#page-51-0) shows the packets received over these five different experiments while shaping the traffic using meter table. As we can notice that there were significant packet loss over lower data rate meter IDs. Table [4.1](#page-52-0) list the number of packets received on host h_4 when the flow was directed towards meter IDs: 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 representing the data rates supported by a [SatCom](#page-69-0) link. Similarly, Table [4.2](#page-52-1) list the number of packets received on host h_3 while directing the flow towards meter IDs: 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 representing the data rates supported by a [UHF](#page-70-2) link. To conclude, Table [4.3](#page-52-2) list the number of

Table 4.1 Loss of packets when traffic was shaped by meter table over SatCom link

Table 4.2 Loss of packets when traffic was shaped by meter table over UHF link

packets received on host h_2 by directing the flow towards meter IDs: 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15 corresponding to the data rates supported by a [VHF](#page-70-1) link.

We wrote a [REST](#page-69-7) application to consistently monitor the number of packets flowing through all the meter IDs at a time, throughout the experiment. Figure [4.10](#page-53-0) shows the number of packets that went through the meter IDs in a given experiment (from 1 to 5). Notice that all the 100 packets from five messages (summing upto 500) pass through the specified meter IDs and none of them through the rest of the IDs. The result was consistent throughout five different experiments having directed the flow towards different meter IDs. Even though all the packets pass through the meter IDs, there was significant packet loss proving the fact that these packets were dropped by the *meter table* while shaping. The reason for the drop was because of

Figure 4.10 Packets directed through different meter IDs of a meter table throughout different experiments

the lack of queuing mechanism by [HTB](#page-68-5) [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) that are implemented within meter IDs. They have no mechanism to queue the packets when packets arrive at a rate higher than the specified rate at which these packets have to be shaped. Thus, we conclude that the traffic shaping using meter table of Open vSwitch is not suitable in it's current form of implementation for low-bandwidth networks such as tactical networks.

Instead of reducing the data rates over five different experiments, we reduced the data rates (from highest to lowest) on interfaces of Radio Host r_1 within a single experiment for an interval of 40 seconds for each data rate. A [REST](#page-69-7) application running on the [SDN](#page-69-2) controller was able to recognize the data rate change on interfaces of r_1 and adaptively modified the flow entry to direct the flow towards corresponding meter IDs representing the change in data rate, to be shaped at. The design of this adaptive mechanism is described in section [3.5.0.1.](#page-39-0) Figure [4.11](#page-54-1) illustrates this adaptive shaping mechanism over [VHF](#page-70-1) link while depicting the number of packets received over the duration of the experiment. Bottom part of this figure shows the adaptive shaping capability of the meter table. The experiment started with shaping the data rate with respect to 9.6 [kbps,](#page-68-8) and after an interval of 40 seconds, shaping was reduced to 4.8 [kbps](#page-68-8) followed by reducing the data rate until 0.6 kbps over a span of 40 seconds for each data rate. As we can notice in the bottom part of the figure, packet loss increased at each interval of data rate change depicting the inefficacy of meter table in shaping the data rate.

To prove that by implementing a queuing mechanism at the [HTB](#page-68-5) [Qdisc](#page-69-6) within these meter entries to queue the packets arriving at a higher rate, we modified this adaptive shaping mechanism by replacing *shaping by meter table to shaping by [HTB](#page-68-5) [Qdisc](#page-69-6)* at the egress port of $OVS₁$. The [HTB](#page-68-5) [Qdisc](#page-69-6) at this egress port, queue the packets when they arrive at a higher speed, using the queue attached to this outbound interface. In the upper part of the same Figure [4.11,](#page-54-1) we can visualize this queuing of packets from Medical Evacuation message by [HTB](#page-68-5) [Qdisc](#page-69-6) at the switch egress port, when link change happens.

Figure 4.11 Comparison of adaptive shaping mechanism by meter table versus HTB Qdisc at Open vSwitch egress port over VHF link

4.2.5 Adaptive Mechanism to ensure Time-of-Expiry (ToE) QoS requirement

In this section we discuss the results of ensuring [ToE](#page-70-7) [QoS](#page-69-1) requirement for the messages from distinct [C2](#page-68-3) services. Using this mechanism as described in section [3.5.0.2,](#page-41-0) we conducted five experiments with respect to the data rates (maximum to minimum) supported by [VHF](#page-70-1) and [UHF](#page-70-2) radios. Messages that were generated and sent from source host h_1 , were shaped using [HTB](#page-68-5) [Qdiscs](#page-69-3) and scheduled using [ETS](#page-68-4) [Qdisc.](#page-69-6) These messages were sent across [VHF](#page-70-1) and [UHF](#page-70-2) links to destination hosts h_2 and h_3 respectively. Adaptive traffic shaping was not performed on the [OVS,](#page-69-11) instead the traffic was shaped at the source host to avoid any packet loss as described in section [4.2.3.](#page-48-0)

To enforce [ToE](#page-70-7) [QoS](#page-69-1) requirement for messages, we used flow timeout feature of [OVS.](#page-69-11) This adaptive mechanism to ensure [ToE](#page-70-7) for messages was designed and implemented as described in section [3.5.0.2.](#page-41-0) To visualize the effect of implementing this mechanism as shown in Figure [4.12,](#page-55-0) we considered to depict the comparison in number of packets received when [ToE](#page-70-7) was applied (bottom) versus not being applied (top) over different rates of a [VHF](#page-70-1) link. While shaping at the maximum data rate (9.6 kbps) at host h_1 and by employing [ETS](#page-68-4) [Qdisc,](#page-69-6) the first packets from *Chat* and [FFT](#page-68-16) messages were received only after 150 seconds when [ToE](#page-70-7) was not applied. Since this duration was more than the [ToE](#page-70-7) value (refer Table [3.1\)](#page-28-0) for both these messages, not a single packet was received from either of the two messages when [ToE](#page-70-7) was applied. Similarly, packets from Obstacle Alert message was dropped after 150 seconds (it's [ToE](#page-70-7) value). While shaping at 4.8 kbps, none of the packets from Obstacle Alert message was received since the first packet from this message arrives after 150 seconds when [ToE](#page-70-7) was not applied. Accordingly, [ToE](#page-70-7) [QoS](#page-69-1) requirement was ensured for packets from Medical Evacuation [\(ToE](#page-70-7) - 300 seconds) and Picture [\(ToE](#page-70-7) - 3600 seconds) messages while shaping over low data rates. The effect of ensuring [ToE](#page-70-7) [QoS](#page-69-1) requirement for messages over [UHF](#page-70-2) links could be visualized in Figure [4.13.](#page-56-0) Further in Table [4.4](#page-56-1) we list the number of packets received on host h_3 (15, 30, 60,

Figure 4.12 Packets received when compared with and without [ToE](#page-70-7) [QoS](#page-69-1) requirement applied over [VHF](#page-70-1) links

120 and 240 kbps) and h_2 (0.6, 1.2, 2.4, 4.8 and 9.6 kbps) when the data rate was shaped with respect to UHF and VHF links along with the corresponding ToE for the messages.

One thing to note in this mechanism of ensuring [ToE](#page-70-7) for messages is that, in our experiments we consider a burst of all the five messages to which [ToE](#page-70-7) has to be ensured. But in real tactical scenarios these messages could be generated in different patterns. Since the timer for ensuring this [ToE](#page-70-7) begins when the switch sniffs the first packets from these messages, the timer value does not depict the exact time since this message was generated. Also if packets spend more time while being shaped at the source host, the timer value could not be compared with the message generation timestamp. So this mechanism should be improved to consider message generation timestamp and offset the timer value according to it.

5

Conclusion

Supporting [End-to-End](#page-68-1) [\(E2E\)](#page-68-1) [Quality-of-Service](#page-69-1) [\(QoS\)](#page-69-1) requirements of user data flow in heterogeneous [Tactical Networks](#page-70-0) [\(TNs\)](#page-70-0) is a challenging task since the networks are characterized by low bandwidth, high delay, and constant change in the link conditions due to high degree of mobility among tactical nodes. The [QoS](#page-69-1) mechanisms that are implemented in the network devices should be adaptive enough to serve the [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements depending on the link conditions with minimal human intervention. In this sense, the network flexibility features provided by [Software-](#page-69-2)[Defined Networking](#page-69-2) [\(SDN\)](#page-69-2) paradigm was explored in ensuring [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements of the user data flow depending on the heterogeneous link conditions. Therefore the work done in this thesis focuses on the [QoS](#page-69-1) mechanisms for [TNs](#page-70-0) based on [SDN](#page-69-2) technology. An adaptive mechanism was introduced in this thesis, by leveraging [SDN](#page-69-2) concept to ensure/enforce [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements from user data flows in tactical networks. Using a traffic generator, messages emulating distinct [Command and](#page-68-3) [Control](#page-68-3) [\(C2\)](#page-68-3) services were generated to test the fulfilment of the [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements for these messages by the adaptive mechanism developed in our [QoS](#page-69-1) framework within a [SDN](#page-69-2) network emulation platform. Features within the OpenFlow switch were used to distinguish the flows coming from distinct messages based on their [Differentiated Services Code Point](#page-68-6) [\(DSCP\)](#page-68-6) value of the packet header. A network application running on the [SDN](#page-69-2) controller was used to continuously sniff the packets on the flow tables of the OpenFlow switch, and flow rules were modified to ensure [Time-of-Expiry](#page-70-7) [\(ToE\)](#page-70-7) [QoS](#page-69-1) requirements for these distinct flows. Different data rates supported by radio modulations of [VHF,](#page-70-1) [UHF](#page-70-2) and [SatCom](#page-69-0) were emulated on the interfaces of a container host depicting the heterogeneous network set up in a tactical scenario. Assuming that the [SDN](#page-69-2) controller should have access to the information on the current data rate in use on these interfaces, a network application was developed to continuously request this information from the network interfaces and adaptively shape the data flow with respect to that, using meter table of the OpenFlow switch. With experimental results, we prove that the adaptive traffic shaping using meter table, in it's current form of implementation is not suitable for low-bandwidth and highly dynamic networks such as tactical networks. Also we explored the traffic

shaping capabilities of [HTB](#page-68-5) [Qdisc,](#page-69-6) implemented within Linux Kernel. Further, we studied the effect of ensuring 'Priority' [QoS](#page-69-1) requirement for four different classes of messages by using strict priority based [PFIFO](#page-69-21) [Qdisc.](#page-69-6) And we introduced a notion of hybrid scheduling mechanism combining strict priority and fairness based scheduling using recent [ETS](#page-68-4) [Qdisc,](#page-69-6) implemented within the Linux Kernel. The proposed [SDN](#page-69-2) based [QoS](#page-69-1) framework introduced in this thesis was validated with experimental results by a network topology depicting a minimal ever-changing network scenario, developed within a [SDN](#page-69-2) emulation platform.

5.1 Future Work

The experiments that were conducted in this thesis considered to evaluate the [QoS](#page-69-1) framework based on a burst of distinct messages. To extend the validation of the framework, messages could be generated in different patterns as in case of our previous investigation in [\[39\]](#page-59-3). The framework could also be tested with the different message sizes from distinct services. The framework was tested by periodic changes in the link conditions which could be extended by testing with random patterns of link changes as experimented in our previous investigation [\[39\]](#page-59-3). The network application ensuring [ToE](#page-70-7) for messages could be improved to consider and offset the time with respect to the time at which these messages were generated. Further, the hybrid scheduling mechanism could be tested with various configurations. Finally, a multi-topology routing similar to the one introduced in [\[29\]](#page-62-1) could be maintained by using different flow tables for low and high data rate [QoS](#page-69-1) topologies.

5.2 Publications

The publications related to the this thesis are as follows:

- Eswarappa, S. M., Rettore, P. H., Loevenich, J., Sevenich, P., and Lopes, R. R. F. Towards adaptive QoS in SDN-enabled Heterogeneous Tactical Networks. In International Conference on Military Communications and Information Systems (ICMCIS) (Oeiras, Portugal, May 2021)
- Loevenich, J., Lopes, R. R. F., Rettore, P. H., Eswarappa, S. M., and Sevenich, P. Maximizing the probability of message delivery over everchanging communication scenarios in tactical networks. IEEE Networking Letter (March 2021), 1–5. early access, doi:10.1109/LNET.2021.3066536
- • Lopes, R. R. F., Loevenich, J., Rettore, P. H., Eswarappa, S. M., and Sevenich, P. Quantizing radio link data rates to create ever-changing network conditions in tactical networks. IEEE Access (September 2020), 1–20
- • Balaraju, P. H., Rettore, P. H., Lopes, R. R. F., Eswarappa, S. M., and Loevenich, J. Dynamic adaptation of the user data flow to the changing data rates in VHF networks: An exploratory study. In 11th IEEE International Conference on Network of the Future (NoF) (Bordeaux, France, Oct 2020), pp. 1–9

Bibliography

- [1] An Architecture for Differentiated Services. Accessed: 26-04-2021. [https:](https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2475.txt) [//www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2475.txt](https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2475.txt).
- [2] Definition of the Differentiated Services Field in the IPv4 and IPv6 Headers. Accessed: 26-04-2021. <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2474>.
- [3] Enhanced Transmission Selection scheduler. Accessed: 22-01-2021. [http://](http://manpages.ubuntu.com/manpages/groovy/man8/tc-ets.8.html) manpages.ubuntu.com/manpages/groovy/man8/tc-ets.8.html.
- [4] Hierarchy Token Bucket. Accessed: 26-04-2021. [https://man7.org/linux/](https://man7.org/linux/man-pages/man8/tc-htb.8.html) [man-pages/man8/tc-htb.8.html](https://man7.org/linux/man-pages/man8/tc-htb.8.html).
- [5] Integrated Services in the Internet Architecture: an Overview. RFC 1633 (Informational). Accessed: 26-04-2021. <https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1633.txt>.
- [6] Linux Network Namespaces. Accessed: 2-05-2021. [https://man7.org/linux/](https://man7.org/linux/man-pages/man7/network_namespaces.7.html) [man-pages/man7/network_namespaces.7.html](https://man7.org/linux/man-pages/man7/network_namespaces.7.html).
- [7] Multi-Generator (MGEN). Accessed: 22-01-2021. [https://github.com/](https://github.com/USNavalResearchLaboratory/mgen.git) [USNavalResearchLaboratory/mgen.git](https://github.com/USNavalResearchLaboratory/mgen.git).
- [8] Open vSwitch. Accessed: 22-01-2021. <http://openvswitch.org/>.
- [9] OpenDaylight Controller. <https://www.opendaylight.org/>. Accessed: 22- 01-2021.
- [10] POX Controller. <https://noxrepo.github.io/pox-doc/html/>. Accessed: 22- 01-2021.
- [11] Project FloodLight. [https://floodlight.atlassian.net/wiki/spaces/](https://floodlight.atlassian.net/wiki/spaces/HOME/overview?mode=global) [HOME/overview?mode=global](https://floodlight.atlassian.net/wiki/spaces/HOME/overview?mode=global). Accessed: 22-01-2021.
- [12] Resource ReSerVation Protocol (RSVP) – Version 1 Functional Specification. RFC 2205 (Proposed Standard). Accessed: 26-04-2021. [https://www.ietf.](https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2205.txt) [org/rfc/rfc2205.txt](https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2205.txt).
- [13] RFC 2475 Architecture for Differentiated Services. Accessed: 26-04-2021. <https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2475.txt>.
- [14] Ryu SDN Framework. <https://github.com/faucetsdn/ryu.git>. Accessed: 22-01-2021.
- [15] Software-Defined Networking (SDN) definition. [https://www.](https://www.opennetworking.org/sdn-definition/) [opennetworking.org/sdn-definition/](https://www.opennetworking.org/sdn-definition/). Accessed Online: 24-09-2020.
- [16] tinyrpc: A modular rpc library. Accessed: 26-04-2021. [https://tinyrpc.](https://tinyrpc.readthedocs.io/en/pre-version-1.0.0/) [readthedocs.io/en/pre-version-1.0.0/](https://tinyrpc.readthedocs.io/en/pre-version-1.0.0/).
- [17] Akyildiz, I. F., Lee, A., Wang, P., Luo, M., and Chou, W. A roadmap for traffic engineering in SDN-openflow networks. Computer Networks 71 (2014) , 1-30.
- [18] Alberts, D. S., Garstka, J. J., and Stein, F. P. Network centric warfare: Developing and leveraging information superiority. Tech. rep., Assistant Secretary of Defense (C3I/Command Control Research Program), 2000.
- [19] ALI, J., LEE, G.-M., ROH, B.-H., RYU, D. K., AND PARK, G. Softwaredefined networking approaches for link failure recovery: A survey. Sustainability 12, 10 (2020), 4255.
- [20] Balaraju, P. H., Rettore, P. H., Lopes, R. R. F., Eswarappa, S. M., and Loevenich, J. Dynamic adaptation of the user data flow to the changing data rates in VHF networks: An exploratory study. In 11th IEEE International Conference on Network of the Future (NoF) (Bordeaux, France, Oct 2020), pp. 1–9.
- [21] BARZ, C., FUCHS, C., KIRCHHOFF, J., NIEWIEJSKA, J., AND ROGGE, H. Heterogeneous tactical radio networks with flexible IP-waveforms. In 2017 International Conference on Military Communications and Information Systems (ICMCIS) (2017), IEEE, pp. 1–7.
- [22] BOLEY, J. M., JUNG, E.-S., AND KETTIMUTHU, R. Adaptive qos for data transfers using software-defined networking. In IEEE International Conference on Advanced Networks and Telecommunications Systems (ANTS) (2016), pp. 1– 6.
- [23] CABA, C., AND SOLER, J. Apis for qos configuration in software defined networks. In IEEE Conference on Network Softwarization (NetSoft) (2015), pp. 1–5.
- [24] Elmasry, G. A comparative review of commercial vs. tactical wireless networks. IEEE Communications Magazine 48, 10 (October 2010), 54–59.
- [25] Eswarappa, S. M., Rettore, P. H., Loevenich, J., Sevenich, P., and Lopes, R. R. F. Towards adaptive QoS in SDN-enabled Heterogeneous Tactical Networks. In International Conference on Military Communications and Information Systems (ICMCIS) (Oeiras, Portugal, May 2021).
- [26] Flathagen, J., Mjelde, T. M., and Bentstuen, O. I. A combined network access control and qos scheme for software defined networks. In 2018 IEEE Conference on Network Function Virtualization and Software Defined $Networks$ (NFV-SDN) (2018), IEEE, pp. 1–6.
- [27] Gkioulos, V., Gunleifsen, H., and Weldehawaryat, G. K. A systematic literature review on military software defined networks. Future Internet 10, 9 (2018), 88.
- [28] Handigol, N., Heller, B., Jeyakumar, V., Lantz, B., and McKeown, N. Reproducible network experiments using container-based emulation. In 8th International Conference on Emerging Networking Experiments and Technoloqies (2012) , pp. 253–264.
- [29] Hauge, M., Landmark, L., Lubkowski, P., and Amanowicz, M. Selected issues of QoS provision in heterogenous military networks.
- [30] Jarschel, M., Zinner, T., Hoßfeld, T., Tran-Gia, P., and Kellerer, W. Interfaces, attributes, and use cases: A compass for SDN. IEEE Communications Magazine 52, 6 (2014), 210–217.
- [31] KARAKUS, M., AND DURRESI, A. Quality of service (qos) in software defined networking (sdn): A survey. Journal of Network and Computer Applications 80 (2017), 200–218.
- [32] Kim, B. C., Bang, Y., Kim, Y., Lee, J. Y., Kwak, D. G., Lee, H. S., and Ma, J. S. A QoS framework design based on DiffServ and SNMP for tactical networks. In IEEE Military Communications Conference (MILCOM) (2008), IEEE, pp. 1–7.
- [33] LANTZ, B., HELLER, B., AND MCKEOWN, N. A network in a laptop: rapid prototyping for software-defined networks. In Proceedings of the 9th ACM SIG-COMM Workshop on Hot Topics in Networks (2010), pp. 1–6.
- [34] LEE, K., KWON, B., KANG, J., HEO, S., AND LEE, S. Optimal flow rate control for SDN-based naval systems. IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems 53, 6 (2017), 2690–2705.
- [35] Loevenich, J., Lopes, R. R. F., Rettore, P. H., Eswarappa, S. M., and Sevenich, P. Maximizing the probability of message delivery over everchanging communication scenarios in tactical networks. IEEE Networking Letter (March 2021), 1–5. early access, doi:10.1109/LNET.2021.3066536.
- [36] Lopes, R. R. F., Balaraju, P. H., and P.Sevenich. Creating everchanging QoS-constrained dataflows in tactical networks: An exploratory study. In International Conference on Military Communications and Information Systems (ICMCIS) (Budva, Montenegro, May 2019), pp. 1–8.
- [37] Lopes, R. R. F., Balaraju, P. H., Rettore, P. H., and Sevenich, P. Queuing over ever-changing communication scenarios in tactical networks. IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing (June 2020), 1–15.
- [38] Lopes, R. R. F., Balaraju, P. H., and Sevenich, P. Creating and handling ever-changing communication scenarios in tactical networks. In 15th International Conference on the Design of Reliable Communication Networks $(DRCN)$ (Coimbra, Portugal, March 2019), pp. 67–74.
- [39] Lopes, R. R. F., Loevenich, J., Rettore, P. H., Eswarappa, S. M., and Sevenich, P. Quantizing radio link data rates to create ever-changing network conditions in tactical networks. IEEE Access (September 2020), 1–20.
- [40] LUBKOWSKI, P., HAUGE, M., LANDMARK, L., BARZ, C., AND SEVENICH, P. On improving connectivity and network efficiency in a heterogeneous military environment. In International Conference on Military Communications and Information Systems (ICMCIS) (2015), IEEE, pp. 1–9.
- [41] McKeown, N., Anderson, T., Balakrishnan, H., Parulkar, G., Peterson, L., Rexford, J., Shenker, S., and Turner, J. Openflow: enabling innovation in campus networks. ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review 38, 2 (2008), 69–74.
- [42] Nobre, J., Rosario, D., Both, C., Cerqueira, E., and Gerla, M. Toward software-defined battlefield networking. IEEE Communications Magazine 54, 10 (2016), 152–157.
- [43] PALMA, D., GONCALVES, J., SOUSA, B., CORDEIRO, L., SIMOES, P., SHARMA, S., AND STAESSENS, D. The queuepusher: Enabling queue management in openflow. In Third European Workshop on Software Defined Networks (2014), pp. 125–126.
- [44] Pfaff, B., and Davie, B. RFC 7047 - The Open vSwitch Database Management Protocol, 2013.
- [45] Pfaff, B., Pettit, J., Koponen, T., Jackson, E., Zhou, A., Rajahalme, J., Gross, J., Wang, A., Stringer, J., Shelar, P., et al. The design and implementation of open vswitch. In 12th USENIX Symposium on Networked Systems Design and Implementation (NSDI) (2015), pp. 117–130.
- [46] Phemius, K., Seddar, J., Bouet, M., Khalife, H., and Conan, V. ´ Bringing SDN to the edge of tactical networks. In IEEE Military Communications Conference (MILCOM) (2016), IEEE, pp. 1047–1052.
- [47] Poularakis, K., Iosifidis, G., and Tassiulas, L. Sdn-enabled tactical ad hoc networks: Extending programmable control to the edge. IEEE Communications Magazine 56, 7 (2018), 132–138.
- [48] SCOTT, K., REFAEI, T., TRIVEDI, N., TRINH, J., AND MACKER, J. P. Robust communications for disconnected, intermittent, low-bandwidth (DIL) environments. In IEEE Military Communications Conference (MILCOM) (Nov 2011), pp. 1009–1014.
- [49] Tronarp, O. Quality of service in tactical ad hoc networks by priority queuing. Master's thesis, Institutionen för systemteknik, Linköping University, Sweden, October 2004. Accessed: 22-01-2021.
- [50] Zacarias, I., Gaspary, L. P., Kohl, A., Fernandes, R. Q., Stocchero, J. M., and de Freitas, E. P. Combining software-defined and delay-tolerant approaches in last-mile tactical edge networking. IEEE Communications Magazine 55, 10 (2017), 22–29.

List of Figures

List of Tables

Acronyms

- API Application Programming Interface. [31](#page-38-2)
- C2 Command and Control. [2](#page-9-1)[–5,](#page-12-1) [7,](#page-14-2) [17,](#page-24-1) [37,](#page-44-2) [47,](#page-54-2) [51](#page-58-1)
- DiffServ Differentiated Service. [11–](#page-18-2)[13,](#page-20-2) [15,](#page-22-0) [16,](#page-23-1) [18,](#page-25-1) [26,](#page-33-4) [39,](#page-46-2) [40](#page-47-2)
- DMB DSCP Marking Information Base. [16](#page-23-1)
- dpid datapath identifier. [31,](#page-38-2) [32](#page-39-3)
- DRR Deficit Round Robin. [28](#page-35-2)
- DSCP Differentiated Services Code Point. [9,](#page-16-2) [11,](#page-18-2) [13,](#page-20-2) [30,](#page-37-2) [32,](#page-39-3) [34,](#page-41-2) [51](#page-58-1)
- DSCP Differentiated Service Code Point. [16–](#page-23-1)[18](#page-25-1)
- E2E End-to-End. [iv,](#page-3-0) [1,](#page-8-1) [16,](#page-23-1) [17,](#page-24-1) [39–](#page-46-2)[42,](#page-49-2) [51,](#page-58-1) [58](#page-65-0)
- ETS Enhanced Transmission Selection. [4,](#page-11-2) [28,](#page-35-2) [29,](#page-36-2) [39,](#page-46-2) [41](#page-48-3)[–43,](#page-50-1) [47,](#page-54-2) [52,](#page-59-4) [57,](#page-64-1) [58](#page-65-0)
- FFT Friendly Force Tracking. [21,](#page-28-2) [27,](#page-34-1) [29,](#page-36-2) [30,](#page-37-2) [42,](#page-49-2) [47](#page-54-2)
- FIFO First-In-First-Out. [27](#page-34-1)
- FPQ Fixed Priority Queue. [16,](#page-23-1) [18](#page-25-1)
- HF High Frequency. [iv,](#page-3-0) [1,](#page-8-1) [7,](#page-14-2) [22](#page-29-2)
- HTB Hierarchy Token Bucket. [4,](#page-11-2) [24–](#page-31-2)[29,](#page-36-2) [38,](#page-45-3) [40,](#page-47-2) [43,](#page-50-1) [46,](#page-53-1) [47,](#page-54-2) [52,](#page-59-4) [57](#page-64-1)
- HTTP Hypertext Transfer Protocol. [31,](#page-38-2) [33](#page-40-1)
- i.e That is. [10,](#page-17-2) [11,](#page-18-2) [19,](#page-26-2) [20,](#page-27-1) [24,](#page-31-2) [32,](#page-39-3) [37,](#page-44-2) [38,](#page-45-3) [40,](#page-47-2) [41](#page-48-3)
- IETF The Internet Engineering Task Force. [12](#page-19-2)
- IntServ Integrated Service. [12,](#page-19-2) [13](#page-20-2)
- IP Internet Protocol. [iv,](#page-3-0) [2,](#page-9-1) [9,](#page-16-2) [12,](#page-19-2) [13,](#page-20-2) [19–](#page-26-2)[21,](#page-28-2) [24,](#page-31-2) [30–](#page-37-2)[32,](#page-39-3) [39–](#page-46-2)[42,](#page-49-2) [58](#page-65-0)
- IPv4 Internet Protocol version 4. [13,](#page-20-2) [14,](#page-21-4) [59](#page-66-0)
- kbps kilobits per second. [11,](#page-18-2) [22,](#page-29-2) [31,](#page-38-2) [40,](#page-47-2) [46](#page-53-1)

kms kilometers. [22](#page-29-2)

- LLDP Link Layer Discovery Protocol. [30](#page-37-2)
- MAC Medium Access Control. [2,](#page-9-1) [20,](#page-27-1) [30](#page-37-2)
- MANETs mobile ad hoc networks. [7](#page-14-2)
- Meter ID Meter Identifier. [11](#page-18-2)
- MGEN Multi-Generator. [4,](#page-11-2) [21,](#page-28-2) [24,](#page-31-2) [37](#page-44-2)
- ms milliseconds. [27,](#page-34-1) [29](#page-36-2)
- NA Not Applicable. [18](#page-25-1)
- netem Network Emulation. [27,](#page-34-1) [29](#page-36-2)
- NSS Naval Ship System. [18](#page-25-1)
- ONF Open Networking Foundation. [8,](#page-15-2) [57](#page-64-1)
- OVS Open vSwitch. [9](#page-16-2)[–12,](#page-19-2) [15,](#page-22-0) [19,](#page-26-2) [20,](#page-27-1) [30–](#page-37-2)[34,](#page-41-2) [42](#page-49-2)[–44,](#page-51-1) [47,](#page-54-2) [57–](#page-64-1)[59](#page-66-0)
- OVSDB Open vSwitch Database. [9,](#page-16-2) [11,](#page-18-2) [12,](#page-19-2) [15,](#page-22-0) [31,](#page-38-2) [32](#page-39-3)
- PFIFO Priority First-In-First-Out. [26–](#page-33-4)[30,](#page-37-2) [38,](#page-45-3) [40,](#page-47-2) [52,](#page-59-4) [57](#page-64-1)
- pktps packets per second. [11](#page-18-2)
- Qdisc Queuing Discipline. [4,](#page-11-2) [23](#page-30-3)[–30,](#page-37-2) [38–](#page-45-3)[41,](#page-48-3) [43,](#page-50-1) [46,](#page-53-1) [47,](#page-54-2) [52,](#page-59-4) [57,](#page-64-1) [58](#page-65-0)
- Qdiscs Queuing Disciplines. [iv,](#page-3-0) [4,](#page-11-2) [20,](#page-27-1) [22](#page-29-2)[–29,](#page-36-2) [38,](#page-45-3) [40,](#page-47-2) [42,](#page-49-2) [43,](#page-50-1) [46,](#page-53-1) [47,](#page-54-2) [57](#page-64-1)
- QoS Quality-of-Service. [iv,](#page-3-0) [1](#page-8-1)[–5,](#page-12-1) [7,](#page-14-2) [11–](#page-18-2)[21,](#page-28-2) [23,](#page-30-3) [26](#page-33-4)[–28,](#page-35-2) [30](#page-37-2)[–32,](#page-39-3) [34,](#page-41-2) [35,](#page-42-1) [37–](#page-44-2)[39,](#page-46-2) [47–](#page-54-2)[49,](#page-56-2) [51,](#page-58-1) [52,](#page-59-4) [57,](#page-64-1) [58](#page-65-0)
- REST Representational State Transfer. [5,](#page-12-1) [12,](#page-19-2) [15,](#page-22-0) [20,](#page-27-1) [30](#page-37-2)[–35,](#page-42-1) [39,](#page-46-2) [45,](#page-52-3) [46,](#page-53-1) [57](#page-64-1)
- RPC Remote Procedure Calls. [5,](#page-12-1) [39](#page-46-2)
- RPC Remote Procedure Call. [32,](#page-39-3) [33,](#page-40-1) [57](#page-64-1)
- RSVP Resource ReSerVation Protocol. [13](#page-20-2)
- SatCom Satellite Communications. [iv,](#page-3-0) [1,](#page-8-1) [5,](#page-12-1) [7,](#page-14-2) [17,](#page-24-1) [20–](#page-27-1)[25,](#page-32-2) [27,](#page-34-1) [30–](#page-37-2)[33,](#page-40-1) [38–](#page-45-3)[41,](#page-48-3) [43,](#page-50-1) [44,](#page-51-1) [51,](#page-58-1) [57,](#page-64-1) [58](#page-65-0)
- SDN Software-Defined Networking. [iv,](#page-3-0) [1–](#page-8-1)[5,](#page-12-1) [7](#page-14-2)[–12,](#page-19-2) [14–](#page-21-4)[20,](#page-27-1) [30–](#page-37-2)[32,](#page-39-3) [37,](#page-44-2) [39,](#page-46-2) [43,](#page-50-1) [46,](#page-53-1) [51,](#page-58-1) [52,](#page-59-4) [57,](#page-64-1) [59](#page-66-0)
- SNMP Simple Network Management Protocol. [16,](#page-23-1) [18](#page-25-1)
- TBF Token Bucket Filter. [23,](#page-30-3) [24,](#page-31-2) [57](#page-64-1)
- TC Traffic Control. [23,](#page-30-3) [25,](#page-32-2) [26,](#page-33-4) [28,](#page-35-2) [29,](#page-36-2) [57](#page-64-1)
- tc Linux Traffic Control. [16](#page-23-1)
- TCP Transmission Control Protocol. [2,](#page-9-1) [12](#page-19-2)
- TENs Tactical Edge Networks. [7,](#page-14-2) [17,](#page-24-1) [18](#page-25-1)
- TN Tactical Network. [1,](#page-8-1) [20,](#page-27-1) [22](#page-29-2)
- TNs Tactical Networks. [iv,](#page-3-0) [1–](#page-8-1)[4,](#page-11-2) [7,](#page-14-2) [12,](#page-19-2) [13,](#page-20-2) [19,](#page-26-2) [20,](#page-27-1) [51](#page-58-1)
- ToE Time-of-Expiry. [5,](#page-12-1) [21,](#page-28-2) [30,](#page-37-2) [34,](#page-41-2) [35,](#page-42-1) [37,](#page-44-2) [39,](#page-46-2) [40,](#page-47-2) [47–](#page-54-2)[49,](#page-56-2) [51,](#page-58-1) [52,](#page-59-4) [57,](#page-64-1) [58](#page-65-0)
- ToS Type-of-Service. [4,](#page-11-2) [13,](#page-20-2) [14,](#page-21-4) [21,](#page-28-2) [23,](#page-30-3) [24,](#page-31-2) [27,](#page-34-1) [38,](#page-45-3) [59](#page-66-0)
- UAVs Unmanned Aerial Vehicles. [7,](#page-14-2) [22](#page-29-2)
- UDP User Datagram Protocol. [2,](#page-9-1) [32,](#page-39-3) [38](#page-45-3)
- UHF Ultra High Frequency. [iv,](#page-3-0) [1,](#page-8-1) [5,](#page-12-1) [7,](#page-14-2) [17,](#page-24-1) [20–](#page-27-1)[25,](#page-32-2) [27,](#page-34-1) [30–](#page-37-2)[33,](#page-40-1) [38–](#page-45-3)[44,](#page-51-1) [47,](#page-54-2) [49,](#page-56-2) [51,](#page-58-1) [57,](#page-64-1) [58](#page-65-0)
- URL Uniform Resource Locator. [31](#page-38-2)
- veth virtual Ethernet. [20](#page-27-1)
- VHF Very High Frequency. [iv,](#page-3-0) [1,](#page-8-1) [5,](#page-12-1) [7,](#page-14-2) [17,](#page-24-1) [20](#page-27-1)[–25,](#page-32-2) [27,](#page-34-1) [30–](#page-37-2)[33,](#page-40-1) [38–](#page-45-3)[48,](#page-55-1) [51,](#page-58-1) [57,](#page-64-1) [58](#page-65-0)
- VLAN Virtual Local Area Network. [14](#page-21-4)
- WFQ Weighted Fair Queuing. [16,](#page-23-1) [18](#page-25-1)
- WSGI Web Server Gateway Interface. [33](#page-40-1)